

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122974
Title of the Manuscript:	Physico-chemical analysis and isolation of yeasts from wild fruits Cola cordifolia in the north of Côte d'Ivoire: selection of potential starters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Fermentation industry is one of the major industries running worldwide. I liked the intention and work which has been done in the manuscript and the author/authors has/have come up with some potential starters which could be useful for the fermentation purposes. Rather than wasting lots of fruits, it would be a good idea to use the <i>Cola cordifolia</i> fruit for other purposes in the fermentation industry.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think the title is well suited for the work which author/authors have done in the current manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract seems to be comprehensive and is representing the theme of whole manuscript so according to me there is no need to add or delete anything from the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure are appropriate according to the work done in the manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The microbial starters have a huge role in the fermentation processes since long along with acceptable role in food industry. Due to high carbohydrates level, <i>Cola cordifolia</i> is proposed to be a suitable substrate for the production of various end products like ethanol in the manuscript. Also, the Authors were able to select five (5) isolates named YC16, YC21, YC42, YC46 and YC49 with best performance which can withstand various stresses like glucose and ethanol. If further research will be done with these five isolates, it can be very beneficial in various biotechnological applications.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References provided in the manuscript are good. However, there should be some very recent references from the literature which is for sure lacking in the reference section and even in the description; there should be some comparisons with the recent work already published. I could see some references on the internet which Author/Authors can provide in the paper.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I am not satisfied with the English language/quality which Author/Authors has/have used in documenting the whole manuscript. Although, any Scholar could understand the meaning, however, the permutations and combinations of the English words which have been used in the manuscript are not up to the mark. I strongly suggest Author/Authors to go through the paper once again and rephrase/re-document the said. I have added the comments in the complete manuscript doc which needs to be changes or re-write in some other way.	
Optional/General comments	English grammar and language should be checked thoroughly as there are lots of mistakes in the Manuscript.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Archana Koul
Department, University & Country	Canada