

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122422
Title of the Manuscript:	Inheritance of bacterial leaf blight resistance in rice (Oryza sativa L.) for major genes
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract result is mentioned but one- or two-line finding should be incorporated. 2. First para of introduction sixth line data was shown but reference is missing 3. Chemical control is ineffective for managing Bacterial Leaf Blight (Devadath 1989). Please check this statement its old reference rectifies it. 4. References are missing in material and methods if any line and variety is selected and mentioned in materials and methods then reference should be there for the scientist who reported that gene in the variety and line. 5. Inoculation methods of bacteria in field condition and laboratory condition should be redescribed in understandable way. 6. Result and discussion section Raipur isolates is mentioned but the isolates characteristics is not described in materials and methods. 7. Result and discussion section presence of Xa5, Xa15 and Xa21 is described but the basis of presence of those gene are not clearly mentioned (Molecular Identification/Accession Number etc). 8. Any supporting analysis expect chi square should be beneficial because based on phenotypic variation it is difficult to state that the gene is responsible for resistance 9. Please check Yashimura et al 1983 or 1986. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vikas Kumar Ravat
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi University, India