

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_120671
Title of the Manuscript:	Significance of Biochemical Markers in the Prediction of Preeclampsia: Reliability and clinical applicability
Type of the Article	Letter to the Editor

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript can add knowledge because of its careful and intentional engagement with the topic. The authors have used recently published scientific papers write their manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, but it is better to modify following comments. Acronyms like PIGF, and HDP written only one times in the document. Thus, it is better to write them in their full form. Besides, the acronym ACOG and its full form is incorporated in the manuscript. Therefore, this needs correction.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because of its careful and deliberate way of approaching the subject, the manuscript has the ability to advance knowledge. The manuscript was written by using recently published scientific papers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. It is better to be edited.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hassen Mosa Halil
Department, University & Country	Werabe University, Ethiopia