

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJOM_122821</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Independent Domination Topology of the Friendship Graph and Its Line Graph</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I feel that more information is required in preliminaries section. Also add its applications in real life. Different terms have been highlights for the author to rephrase sentences (yellow)and give detail(green). Topology is a wide concept in space so needs more focus in specific terms.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Ok	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A comprehensive effort has been made to elaborate each topic. Mathematical explanations are appropriate. It will be more attractive if few modification are inserted in the article	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Proper ordering of year is required, starting from the latest years Titles are not in proper because in first reference, title consists of different words starting with capital letters but 2 <sup>nd</sup> reference onward, there are discrepancies.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok, little bit addition of few more sentences and punctuation marks will make it more effective.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Focus on punctuation marks and add more detail in preliminaries to make it capable for publishing.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ruby Nasir</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Govt. Graduate College For Women, Pakistan</b>