

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJOM_122821</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Independent Domination Topology of the Friendship Graph and Its Line Graph</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>		
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Abstract should be rewritten in a more precise fashion highlighting the main findings.</li> <li>2. The plagiarism report for the paper shows that over 35% of its content is similar to other sources. The plagiarism should be reduced.</li> <li>3. Check the grammar and syntax of the whole manuscript carefully and revise the manuscript accordingly.</li> <li>4. Section 3.1, the content lacks coherence and may not follow the required structure or guidelines.</li> <li>5. English should be improved.</li> <li>6. The quality of the figures must be improved.</li> <li>7. References are not well organized. Include some more references of recent works relevant to this study. All references must be cited in the text and vice-versa.</li> <li>8. Summarize the findings of this work in "Conclusions".</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>		
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>		
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>		
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>		

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Md. Asrafur Islam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jagannath University, Bangladesh</b>