

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_121862
Title of the Manuscript:	LIPID PROFILE DERANGEMENTS IN PATIENTS WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors gives an Awareness on the dyslipidaemia with T2 DM and its risk factors ,since they are more prone for cardiovascular disease.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggest to add up the study population in THE TITLE since it was confined to only a population in tertiary referral health center (LASUTH)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is acceptable	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Needs correction in the sentence <i>Inclusion criteria: were Diagnosed adult type 2 diabetes patients presenting for the first time in the clinic with case notes that had adequate demographical records and relevant clinical information</i>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In the present study ,though the lipid parameters alteration is explained but no scientific explanation for a)high percentage of dyslipidemia in female than male 2) which age group is more prone and why 3) explanation fro alteration in lipid paframeters in age group >60 years	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. Minimum 5% -10% of the references should be from indexed recent articles	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article is good	
Optional/General comments	Rationale of the study may be included . Explanation for the findings /results has to be included <b>Recommended</b> Acceptance after necessary corrections	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sachu Philip</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Swamy Vivekanandha Medical College Hospitals, India</b>