

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRN_122761</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nephrotic syndrome revealing secondary syphilis in a 23-year-old student in sub-Saharan Africa and review of the literature</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case reports</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Approved</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nephrotic syndrome is more often primary, However secondary nephrotic syndromes are uncommon more so by syphilis. In view increasing incidence of Syphis this ian eye oper to suspect nephrotic syndrome in syphilitic patients. It would have been nice if histopathological study were done to verify whether it is a coexistence secondary to Syphilis '	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since it is case report , it is appropriate, necessary investigations are conducted to confirm the diagnosis. Review o literature is sufficient. Histopathologic evidence would have enhanced the value of this case report.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes,	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Nil	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjeev Rai B
Department, University & Country	Father Muller medical Collage, India