

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_123520
Title of the Manuscript:	Combating Soil Salinity in Sugarcane Farming: Integrated Approaches and Bio-Saline Agriculture Innovations
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The peer-reviewed article "Combating Soil Salinity in Sugarcane Farming: Integrated Approaches and Bio-Saline Agriculture Innovations" is interesting and relevant. I have no problem reviewing this article because I believe the authors have conducted a literature search that has resulted in original research that is reliable enough to add to the existing knowledge on the subject. More importantly, it will undoubtedly guide further research in this field to combat soil salinization in sugarcane cultivation and find innovative methods in bio-saline agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and contains the necessary sections.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors of the article theoretically investigated the problem of combating soil salinization during the cultivation of sugar cane, and the search for innovative methods in bio-saline agriculture. To do this, the authors systematized and summarized the available information, theoretical work of scientists, presented their own interpretation of previously conducted research and measures to overcome the impact of soil salinization in sugarcane crops. Also, the authors of the article presented a unique and effective method of reducing the impact of soil salinization on sugarcane crops by using bio-saline agriculture. In conclusion, I think the article deserves to be published.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The list of used literary sources contains new references, but includes only 16 sources. In my opinion, since this is a review article, it is somewhat concise and short. somewhat concise. It is necessary to expand and add new, fresh foreign references on the research topic for the last 5 years (must contain at least 30 sources).	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of the article is suitable for scientific communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olifir Yurii
Department, University & Country	Institute of Agriculture of Carpathian Region, National Academy of Agrarian Sciences, Ukraine