

[ReviewForm3](#)

JournalName:	AsianJournalofEnvironment&Ecology
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJEE_123208
Titleof theManuscript:	DEGRADATIONOFEMAMECTINBENZOATEIN THE AQUACULTUREPONDENVIRONMENTUNDERTROPICALCLIMATICCONDITION
Typeof the Article	OriginalResearchArticle

ReviewForm3

PART1: ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>L=Line</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>-> The abstract must be continuous text, without the division made with items in bold.</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>L56-58: What are the consequences of environmental contamination by EMB? Explain.</p> <p>Material and methods</p> <p>L86: "experiment. (Tables 1,2)." -> "." before the parenthesis. Do not cite both tables at the same time, separate them.</p> <p>-> Table 2 looks strange, it looks like a first row is missing or the rows should be columns.</p> <p>Results</p> <p>L138-150: this is not a result, this is a methodology for studying the kinetics of the reaction. After all, does the chemical reaction follow first or second order kinetics? Where are the graphs with the first and second order kinetic results?</p> <p>L142: equation 1 is not formatted correctly, it is missing exponential formatting.</p> <p>-> Equation 1 (L142) is the result of integration, but it is not the linearized form.</p> <p>-> Figure 2 was not cited in the text. Why did the authors plot "C/C0" versus "t"? Wouldn't the linearization of equation 1 be "ln"(natural logarithm)?</p> <p>Figures 4 and 5 were not cited in the text.</p>	

ReviewForm3

	L249: "show"->showed References References must be cited in the text between square brackets []	
Isthe title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Isthe abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Isthe language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thiago Simonato Mozer
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal Fluminense, Brazil