

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_122982
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the influence of enterprise innovation capability on M&A performance
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article extensively discusses the role of innovative capabilities in merger and acquisition performance. Personally, I find the article useful in academic society. However, there are some technical errors that require improvement.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggested topic "Impact of enterprise innovation capability on mergers and acquisitions financial performance." I believe, explore word is used in the case of exploratory research design using qualitative research method.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The empirical part of the abstract consists of a discussion based on correlation results, but I believe it is better to discuss the impact of independent variables on dependent variables ROA and ROE based on regression results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct and robust. It includes the VIF test and replaces the dependent variable. However, to test the model's robustness, the researcher could have run both a fixed effect model and a random effect model rather than simply using an ordinary least squares regression model.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference is sufficient and consistent, but the text citation is not uniform. Some paragraphs cite both the author's first name and family name. Only the family name appears in the same case.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript can be published with some revision and improvements.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ajay Kumar Shah
Department, University & Country	Kathmandu University, Nepal