

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123492
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring factors affecting Entrepreneurial attitude of University Students
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Comments on the manuscript titled: Exploring factors affecting Entrepreneurial attitude of University Students.</p> <p>The title looks interesting however, the study lacks scientific research technicalities and good report as summarily pointed out here:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction/background is poorly written. Virtually lacking substances to seek for the reader's interest. The only three paragraphs in the introduction section are simply repetitive of an idea without references. 2. The problem of study was poorly written. No solid objectives, research questions or hypotheses guided the study' 3. The authors are confused about the research design used. 4. Author confused about the research design used. 5. Construction, contents, validity and reliability of the instrument are not known or rather not discussed. 6. The area of the study and the Participants not known or rather not discussed. 7. Methods of data collection and data analysis not known. 8. Faulty instrument implies faulty results therefore, the discussions and recommendations must be out of context. <p>Recommendation</p> <p>Based on my reports above, I feel that the study in its present form lacks the required quality and technicalities for publication.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		

Review Form 3

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -		
<u>Minor REVISION</u> comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benson I. Igboanugo
Department, University & Country	Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Nigeria