

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_121628
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Agroecology Principles, Practices, and Their Impact on Sustainable Food Systemss</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses a topic of utmost importance. Agroecology nowadays represents an alternative model of production compared to industrial agriculture. The proposal of the manuscript to conduct a review on the three dimensions of agroecology, as well as the enumeration of some relevant agroecological practices and their impacts on sustainable food systems, is noteworthy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I consider that this manuscript cannot be published in its current form due to the absence of citations in the text. A review's main strength lies in the citations and research conducted by all authors who have addressed the topic. In its current form, the manuscript is merely a summary of agroecology principles, without acknowledging those who generated that knowledge. The reference section contains citations that are not found in the text. Furthermore, the examples mentioned as successful also lack references for readers to further explore them.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, in the case of a review, references should be abundant so that the reader can have an idea of the most relevant research conducted on the topic being addressed.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I consider the article to have potential, but it requires significant work regarding the citations and how they are discussed in the text.	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mariana Totino</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Nacional de San Martín, Argentina</b>