

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_123584
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Millet, Cassava and Chickpea to Prepare High Nutrient different Products for Celiac Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p>This manuscript is highly significant for the scientific community as it addresses the need for nutritious, gluten-free alternatives for celiac patients.</p> <p>The research demonstrates the potential of using cassava, millet, and chickpea flours to create nutrient-dense crackers, offering a healthier option compared to traditional wheat-based products.</p> <p>The study's focus on increasing the protein, fiber, and mineral content of these crackers provides a valuable contribution to both food science and dietary needs for individuals with celiac disease.</p> <p>I appreciate this manuscript for its practical approach to improving gluten-free food options while maintaining sensory appeal.</p>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes the title of the article is suitable.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of the given article is comprehensive. However conclusion part of abstract should clearly state the potential impact of this research for celiac patients or the food industry, emphasizing the benefits of gluten-free alternatives.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes subsection and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p>The manuscript appears scientifically robust and technically sound as it is based on well-defined experimental methods, including chemical analysis, sensory evaluation, and comparison with control samples.</p> <p>The study's results, such as the significant increase in protein, fibre, and mineral content in the gluten-free crackers, are statistically validated, adding credibility to the findings.</p> <p>The use of appropriate statistical methods to demonstrate the significance of the results further</p>	

### Review Form 3

	strengthens its scientific rigor. Additionally, the sensory evaluation provides practical insight into consumer preferences, showing that the research is both scientifically correct and relevant for real-world applications.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references listed in the manuscript are sufficient and appear to be a mix of older and recent ones. If possible include 2-3 additional up-to-date references, particularly on recent advancements in gluten-free product development, nutritional analysis of alternative flours, and celiac disease.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes the language quality of the article is suitable for the scholarly communication	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The given manuscript is written in well manner and can be accepted for publication,	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Nitin Wamanrao Shinde</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Maharashtra Animal and Fishery Sciences University, India</b>