

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_123311
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Performance of G6 Transgenic Mutiara Catfish At Different Stocking Density
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Comments to the Author The author Combined methods for Growth Performance of G6 Transgenic Mutiara Catfish at Different Stocking Density. The experiment methods were weak and the analysis are not enough. I am happy to accept the manuscript but I have few queries and need changes The quires as follows,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • . Have to correct the grammatical mistakes, spacing between words. Please thoroughly edit the manuscript. • The Analysis of PCA should be done. • It is not clear from the method, how many number of independent biological samples and replicate were used for experiment. Please, clarify that part in the method section. • I suggest would be rather pie chart should be used to show statistical analysis. • In the Discussion section, the authors did not discuss the potential roles of parameter in growth performance of G6 transgenic mutiara catfish seeds one by one. This point should be represented in the conclusion part (abstract and main text). Please rewrite discussion and conclusion. 	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Zahra Ghorbanzadeh</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Agricultural Biotechnology Research Institute of Iran (ABRII), Iran</p>