

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_123545</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Summary of research on fine management of engineering project construction under the concept of intelligent construction</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript effectively addresses the integration of fine management and intelligent construction, which is timely and valuable for improving efficiency in engineering projects. The paper provides a solid overview but would benefit from more practical examples.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is appropriate but could be more concise. Suggested title: <i>"Fine Management in Engineering Projects under Intelligent Construction."</i>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract gives a general overview but lacks clarity on the specific technologies reviewed, which are central to the discussion. Consider briefly mentioning key technologies like BIM and RFID as they are vital in fine management under intelligent construction. This will help clarify the scope of the review and attract relevant readers. For example: <i>"This review highlights key technologies, such as BIM and RFID, which are essential for implementing fine management in intelligent construction."</i>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The manuscript is well-structured, but sections discussing intelligent construction technologies need more depth. Adding clear transitions between concepts will improve readability.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The paper is scientifically sound but would be stronger with practical examples that show how intelligent construction technologies are applied in real-world engineering projects.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient, though adding more recent studies (within the last two years) will enhance the relevance of the review.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is generally understandable, but the manuscript would benefit from a professional language edit to fix occasional grammatical errors and improve clarity, especially in the introduction and conclusion.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arkar Htet</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mullion Façade Engineering School, Myanmar</b>