

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_123281
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Skin Toxicity in Female Rabbits and Antifungal Activity of Piliostigma thonningii
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I liked this manuscript because it represent an additional step on the way of finding a safe natural replacement therapeutic for traditionally used harmful chemical therapeutic, as we can see the advantage of using the extract of medicinal plant that we can reach our target and skip the bad effect of chemicals on the patient body, so I think this kind of manuscript scientifically worse a lot in treating the diseases with no or limited side effects	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No I think "the Antifungal Activity and Skin Toxicity of Piliostigma thonningii in vitro and in vivo" will be better	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I think it is written well no additional points need to be added	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript investigated two concentrations of the extract on rabbits (which considered much sensitive than any other lab animals and will show any minor sensitivity reaction) and record observations over different short and long periods of times (which allow any postponed sensitivity reactions to be revealed) also it made an in vitro study of the extract to assure it's antifungal activities which considered a sound scientific approach	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	some are missing in material and methods also additional references should be added to the discussion section to illustrate the effect of this plant which previously discussed by other researchers and comparing their results with this research results about 79% of references lies within past 10 years so they are considered recent you should mention the reference of the methodology that used in plant extraction also the used doses of the extract should be referenced	
Minor REVISION comments	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Mohamed Elmahdy
Department, University & Country	Animal Health Research Institute, Egypt