

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_123085
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Intercharacter Relationships among Agronomic Traits of Diverse Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> (L.) Moench) Collections in Coastal Regions of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present review article is a very informative and well explained by the author. It is may help the scientific community to select a better genotype to develop a cultivar or variety for coastal region of Tamil Nadu as well as other coasted region of India.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	As per author the title of this manuscript unique and self-explanatory. But as per my point of view it may be like that "Correlation & Path Analysis for Determination of Intercharacter Relationships among Diverse Okra (<i>Ablemoschus esculentus</i> (L.) Moench) Collections in Coastal Regions of Tamil Nadu".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No addition or deletion in abstract. Add "the" in the fourth line before "Yield through selection".	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	-
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think not much correction is needed in this manuscript only some are and those are highlight in hardcopy. The kindly write more keywords atleast 05 nos. and remove the scientific name of Okra because scientific name will not be a keywords.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The references are sufficient but the way author write the name of author's name in the article are not according to a set pattern of Journal. e.g. it is written by author No. 1. Rahaman, M.A. (2015) But it will be like Rahaman M A, (2015) No.2. Das, S., Nandi, G., & Ghosh, L.K.(2019), it will be like Das S, Nandi G and Ghos L K (2015)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Its Ok no need any editing.	
Optional/General comments	1. There is no need to code reference in the Material and Methods. 2. At the end of Material and Methods the author mentioned the name of institute where he analysis his data. Kindly remove this. If author wants to acknowledge the efforts of institute, then it can be placed at the end of article.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shashi Kumar
Department, University & Country	DR. YSR Horticultural University, India