

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_123241
Title of the Manuscript:	State of knowledge of artisanal potash based on agricultural co-producers in Côte d'Ivoire.
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The importance of this manuscript for the scientific community concerns the production processes, those listed various processes are identical regardless of the by-product used as the author described in his manuscript. Artisanal potash is a product obtained from the by-products of household products and agricultural crops. The main objective of this study is to identify the various by-products used for the production of potash fertilizers, as well as the various processes of artisanal potash fertilizer production and their various uses. This manuscript can be published with correction, can be considered as liked because now in agriculture from an environmental point of view to identify the various by-products used for the production of potash fertilizers, as well as the various processes of artisanal potash fertilizer production and their various uses is important, but there are comments specifically to the result, To strengthen the analysis, I recommend adding an analysis of the actual results of a technical and chemical experiment.</p> <p>+ To strengthen the analysis, I recommend adding an analysis of the actual results of a technical and chemical experiment.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>Analysis of agricultural producer data, indicator of competence on artisanal potash production in Côte d'Ivoire.</p> <p>Analysis of agricultural producer data, indicator of competence on artisanal potash production in Côte d'Ivoire.</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The goal is fine, but I recommend adding a few words about the analysis of the actual results of the technical and chemical experiment.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The goal is fine	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Artisanal potash is a product obtained from the by-products of household products and agricultural crops. The main objective of this study is to identify the various by-products used for the production of potash fertilizers, as well as the various processes of artisanal potash fertilizer production and their various uses. This manuscript can be published with correction, can be considered as liked because now in agriculture from an environmental point of view to identify the various by-products used for the production of potash fertilizers, as well as the various processes of artisanal potash fertilizer production and their various uses is important, but there are comments specifically to the result, To strengthen the analysis, I recommend adding an analysis of the actual results of a technical and chemical experiment.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	<p>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/323245481_Potash_fertilizer_to_agricultural_based_economies</p> <p>https://www.cell.com/heliyon/fulltext/S2405-8440(24)05652-4?returnURL=https%3A%2F%2Flinkinghub.elsevier.com%2Fretrieve%2Fpii%2FS2405844024056524%3Fshowall%3Dtrue</p> <p>https://www.e3s-conferences.org/articles/e3sconf/abs/2024/67/e3sconf_sdea2024_08008/e3sconf_sdea2024_08008.html</p> <p>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10661-024-12894-7</p> <p>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10460-024-10582-3</p>	

Review Form 3

	https://sciendo.com/article/10.2478/picbe-2024-0126	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Overall it's fine, I recommend fixing it	I recommend checking your English for improvement
Optional/General comments	But still it needs improvement that I incorporated my comments on the paper (please check attached file). In addition, readers might be benefitted from the English editing by the native English speaker.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akmaral Tlenshiyeva
Department, University & Country	Kazakh National Agrarian Research University, Kazakhstan