

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_123241
Title of the Manuscript:	State of knowledge of artisanal potash based on agricultural co-producers in Côte d'Ivoire.
Type of the Article	Original research paper

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a very interesting research. It has been able to explain the various kinds of potash mined and the raw materials needed for each type.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but I would suggest it is written as "Knowledge of artisanal potash based on agricultural produce in Cote d'Ivoire"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I would suggest the author goes through the format once more. Go through the abstract thoroughly. The abstract is too long(476 words) against the normal(250 words).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has enlightened every reader on how potash can be mined and the various types of potash we have. It further educates us that potash can actually be produced from different agricultural produce other than the usual belief that potash is Potassium.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Kindly follow the format.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, although some of the mistakes detected has been highlighted in yellow.	
Optional/General comments	The introduction is too short. - What does "ou" in the last column of table 1 mean? Please indicate. - Table 2 is too tiny. -Why are some in-text citations written out and some were represented with numbers? Please follow format. - No materials indicated in the materials and method.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adamma C. E Ibeaja
Department, University & Country	Abia State University, Nigeria