

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_122664
Title of the Manuscript:	Antinutrients in mungbean and strategy for reduction: An overview
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This manuscript is important about the method to reduce anti-nutritional compounds in foods for consumption. It shows something new approach for the scientific community. But it needs modification. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The title is suitable 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abstract summarized in a good way 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Subsection and sections are not arranged in a proper way, it needs some adjustment. Subsection should be separated from the section by subsequent numbering, font size, bulletin or any other way. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This manuscript focused on the anti-nutritional factors in mung beans and the strategy to reduce anti-nutrients, this is particular on mung beans. Therefore, it gives an overview on mung bean anti-nutritional factors and their strategical methods to reduce. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There are old references in the manuscript, it needs updated references, at least not less than 12 years old. Ex. "phytate Phosphorus" section cited references are too old; <i>Reddy et al., 1989</i>, <i>Sharpley et al., 1994</i>, <i>Wyss et al., 1999</i>, <i>Morris et al., 1986</i>). For example, I suggest to use the reference for <i>Morris et al., 1986</i>). is <i>Zhang et al. (2020)</i>. Revisiting phytate-element interactions: implications for iron, zinc and calcium bioavailability, with emphasis on legumes. <i>Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition</i>, 62(6), 1696–1712. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2020.1846014. 	

Comment [GT1]: Very old reference, update it

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used in this manuscript is good and simple to understand the concept	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- I think that the manuscript contains good idea for the scientific community, but some improvement is required; for example, some sections are not explained in detail, hence, additional explanation is required comparing the works of different researchers results; the references used are old hence they should be replaced by updated references, sections and subsections should be reconstructed in a proper way based on the journal guideline, tables and figures are not included in the proper place of the text,- Additionally, the core of this manuscript is the "Strategies for reduction of Anti-nutrients from mung bean" this part is explained in highlight but not in depth.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gashaw M. Tessera
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Bio and Emerging Technology Institute, Ethiopia