

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_122371
Title of the Manuscript:	Transmission of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies to newborns by vaccination of pregnant women.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe that the research conducted on women's health was good and necessary. However, there are some errors that needed to be addressed and removed in order to improve the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it was good. However, there was some of grammar errors, for example: Vaccination in pregnant women is already performed for viruses and bacteria, with advantages to the mother and the newborn. Besides a general rejection of Covid-19 vaccines in this population, these are considered safe, effective and may prevent severe disease in pregnant women. Neutralizing antibodies titer measured in vaccinated pregnant is highly predictive of immune protection and can have a protective effect on the newborn. This study aimed to confirm the transfer of the antibodies across the placenta and to test if other factors impact the antibodies titer levels in the newborn circulation .	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, there wasn't a statistical section.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In terms of science, there was as a necessity in the health sector, particularly for women.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, all of the references were from a maximum of four years ago. it was sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. I think it must be deeply reviewed grammatically.	
Optional/General comments	It was inserted in the text, please check the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javad Poursamimi
Department, University & Country	Zabol University of Medical Sciences, Iran