

## Review Article

### Intercropping and nutrient management in tuber crops- A review

#### ABSTRACT

Among the land-grown food crops, tuber crops assume prime importance in human diet after cereals, being a concentrated source of carbohydrates. In Philippines, Java, Indonesia, Sumatra, Malaysia, Bangladesh, India, China and south eastern Asian countries, tuber crops are either the staple or subsidiary food for about one-fifth of the human population. The crop plays a crucial role in satisfying the multifaceted needs of the human population contributing to food, nutritional, social and economic security. In the present scenario of climate change and lack of adequate areas for cultivation tuber crops are gaining importance. Limited availability of additional land for crop production, decreased soil fertility and declining yield for major food crops have been cited as the major concerns for agriculture's ability to provide nourishment for the increasing population. The tuber crops are usually long duration crops and it provides a scope for land intensification through intercropping. Intercropping is a farming practice that is commonly adopted in the tropics. Based on the spatial arrangement of crops in the field, intercropping can be largely practiced in various ways. Another important aspect that affects the overall productivity of the tuber crops is the nutrient management. Nutrient management plays a crucial role in optimizing tuber productivity in the tropical regions.

*Keywords: intercropping, nutrient management, soil fertility.*

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In intensive agricultural systems, where external inputs are often supplied in large quantities there occurs serious negative impact on the soil, water quality and on the biodiversity. In the developing country like India, for fulfilling the food requirement of ever-growing population, there is every need to increase the productivity and land utilization per unit area. The best way for the intensification of land is by adopting intercropping [30]. Intercropping is a farming practice that is commonly adopted in the tropics. The choice of component crops and mixture proportions, however, depend on the agroecological zone and the contribution of different crops in the mixtures to farmers' diets and subsistence. Intercropping of tuber crops with crops like pulses offers a myriad of advantages. It boosts system productivity and maximizes land-use efficiency, albeit potentially causing a slight decrease in the yields of individual tuber crops.

Additionally, intercropping enhances soil nutrient levels, suppresses weed growth, and reduces pests and diseases, thereby facilitating the sustainable intensification of crop cultivation. Under the intercropping systems, competition is one of the main factors that is having significant impact on growth rate and yield of plant species [7]. When compared to the monocropping system intercropping possess more efficient utilization of available resources and an increased productivity. As the resource utilization is comparatively greater in the intercropping system there is an increasing interest towards the adoption of intercropping. It is well known that the different species growing together in the same place compete for nutrients, water and light and hence the component crops in the intercropping system should be selected taking into consideration the aspect of

#### Comment [UdW1]:

Abstract

1st - You focused a lot on talking about the crop and its importance and little on intercropping and nutrient management in this situation.

2nd - In Keywords, you could use more terms and avoid repeating those in the title.

#### Comment [UdW2]:

Introduction:

1st - Many sentences without citations. More citations provide more validation to your work. I think you should include citations in all the sentences in this section.

2nd - The chronological sequence in this section should be reviewed, starting with the importance of tuber crops for the Indian population, the practice of intercropping: why, and what are the benefits?, nutrient management: how it is done, which sources are used, and what are the particularities in intercropping systems.

3rd - All attributes discussed in the text should be here with citations and presented in a summarized form.

4th - The objectives of this review were not written. What do you intend to clarify or discuss with this review?

competition that exist between different crop components [15]. Apart from the selection of the companion crops the other factors such as relative sowing time [20], spatial arrangement of component crops [19] and fertilizer management [2] plays a key role in the intercropping systems for getting higher productivity.

After cereals and millets, the tuber crops are the third most important food crop in the world. Tropical tuber crops, including cassava, sweet potato, yams (greater yam, white yam and lesser yam) and aroids like elephant foot yam, taro and tannia form the most important staple or subsidiary food to about 500 million global population. In states like Kerala where small and medium sized land holdings forms a larger share the adoption of intercrops during the immature and mature stages is a common practice.

The recent hikes in prices of fertilizers have been compelling the Indian farmers to resort to imbalanced nutrition of crops and thus, leading to reduction in crop yields and also causing negative nutrient balance annually [47]. As an alternative way, to overcome the dependence on inorganic fertilizers, usage of organic waste integrated with chemicals and biofertilizers was recommended. Productivity of tropical soils is declining, mainly due to intensive use and depletion of nutrients through harvested crop components without adequate nutrient additions to the soil [8]. In this scenario the integrated application of nutrients has been recommend for reviving the soil fertility and quality. By the integration of bio fertilizers along with N P K fertilizers can save about 25, 50 -75 and 25 per cent respectively of the NPK fertilizers [11]. Another approach is the sole application of organic nutrients to the tuber crops for improving their overall productivity while maintaining and enhancing the soil fertility [40, 46]. The organic fertilizers were found to enhance the soil structure and reduce the soil resistance which in turn favors the growth of tuber crops. Various studies conducted in tuber crops such as potato indicated the effectiveness of compost application to reduce the soil resistance whereby increasing the overall growth of tuber crops [48, 43]. The application of biofertilizers such as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) increased the nutrient acquisition and also mediated the synthesis of phytohormones [10]. PGPR is well known for its ability to fix nitrogen as well as for its positive effect o the plant growth [5, 12] and apart from N the uptake of K and P was also mediated by the interaction with the host plant [25, 33].

## 2. EFFECT OF INTERCROPPING ON TUBER CROPS

### 2.1 Growth and Growth Attributes of Tuber Crops

In an experiment conducted by [9], there was significant improvement in the growth and growth attributes of elephant foot yam on intercropping with vegetable cowpea, cucumber and okra. There was a significant increase in the pseudostem girth, height and canopy spread of elephant foot yam when intercropped with vegetable cowpea. Similar findings were observed in the work conducted by [39] under intercropping of elephant foot yam. Introducing intercrops and increasing their population led to significant changes in the growth parameters of elephant foot yam. There was a significant

#### Comment [UdW3]:

Seção 2:

1° - Many sentences without citations. More citations provide more validation to your work. I think you should include citations in all the sentences in this section.

2° - A sequência cronológica nesta seção deveria ser revista, partindo da importância da cultura de tubérculo para a população Indiana, prática intercalar culturas: porque, e quais benefícios?, manejo de nutrientes: Como é feito, quais fontes são utilizadas, e quais as particularidades em sistemas intercalados. Nesta seção a discussão deve ser aprofundada.

#### Comment [UdW4]:

Section 2.1:

1st - The first section should detail the physiological (Growth, development, growth preferences, among others) and technical (production, yield, among others) characteristics of tuber cultivation.

increase in the pseudostem height and a decrease in pseudostem girth, canopy spread, and production of leaflets in elephant foot yam [28].

When elephant foot yam was intercropped with garlic or ginger in single/double row planting,[46] opined that the growth attributes were significantly influenced. Elephant foot yam with single row turmeric, resulted in maximum leaf area index (1.88), fresh weight (918.48 g) and dry weight of the plant (107.68 g). [21] opined that when elephant foot yam was intercropped with green gram there was a significant increase in the growth attributes and growth of elephant foot yam. On comparison with the sole crop the elephant foot yam intercropped with green gram has resulted in significantly higher number of pseudostem as well as taller plants.

## 2.2 Yield Attributes and Yield of Tuber Crops

[8] in his study opined that when elephant foot yam was grown as sole crop the corm yield was found to be maximum ( $61.27 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ) when grown as sole crop followed by intercropping with cowpea ( $57.28 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ).

In a field study conducted by [39], where elephant foot yam was intercropped with a variety of vegetables such as bittergourd, ridgegourd and bottlegourd, a significantly higher corm yield was observed in the sole crop of elephant foot yam which was followed by the corm yield obtained in elephant foot yam + bitter gourd. A higher corm length, corm diameter and yield per plant were observed in the sole crop of elephant foot yam, when it was intercropped with ginger and turmeric and it was on a par with the results obtained from elephant foot yam + ginger (1:1). Greater yield attributes have led to a higher corm yield. It was observed that the corm yield in elephant foot yam + ginger intercropping was just 5.5 per cent [28].

[21] observed a greater average corm weight in elephant foot yam when it was intercropped with green gram. [16] opined that the type of legume that is been used for intercropping greatly influenced the tuber yield of potato. When compared to the sole stand of potato crop, intercropping potato with pea and bean resulted in a significantly yield decrease to the tune of 19 and 16 percentage respectively. There was a significant increase in the average root weight, root numbers as well as root enlargement of cassava by about 39, 33.6 and 27.7 per cent when it was intercropped soybean [6].

## 2.3 Intercropping Indices

The intercropping indices such as land equivalent ratio (LER) and crop equivalent ratio is being discussed here. The higher values of LER observed in the intercropping systems indicates the superiority of the interspecific interaction or complementarity over the competition resulting in greater land-use efficiency. Indeed, high performance in terms of LER is obtained in plant communities with low competition [27, 51].

Among the intercrops such as okra, cucumber, amaranth and cowpea, [9] observed that the highest elephant foot yam yield equivalent of about  $9.80 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  was obtained on intercropping with

### Comment [UdW5]:

Section 2.2:

1st - This section should detail why tuber cultivation would benefit from the practice of intercropping. It should also discuss in more depth the main physiological and technical attributes affected by such practice. Even with these changes, is intercropping still viable? Why?

### Comment [UdW6]:

Section 2.3:

1st - I am not convinced if this part should be in the text. Once again, what is the purpose of this review? To discuss the physiological attributes affected by nutrient management in intercropping systems? If so, this text should not be in the discussion. On the other hand, is the objective to discuss the technical attributes of intercropping in different nutrient management systems?

cowpea whereas the lowest value of  $3.40 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  was from amaranth intercrop.[36] reported that the land equivalent ratio (LER) of cassava+ pumpkin intercropping system irrespective of cassava cultivars, resulted in LER values of 1.42–1.91. There was also a yield advantage of 42–91 per cent when compared with the sole crop of cassava.

In a field study conducted by [28] higher corm equivalent yield of 39.6 t/ha was achieved in the elephant foot yam + ginger (1:2) intercropping system. When compared with the sole crops of elephant foot yam, ginger and turmeric, the increase in corm-equivalent yield under elephant foot yam + ginger (1:2) was in the tune of 10.0, 10.6 and 101.0 per cent. Similarly, the intercropping systems has shown a positive impact on the LER which clearly depicts that the intercropping systems were superior to sole cropping. The maximum LER was noticed in elephant foot yam + ginger (1:2) intercropping which was followed by elephant foot yam + ginger (1:1) and elephant foot yam + turmeric (1:1) intercropping systems.

[21] observed a higher corm equivalent yield of elephant foot yam under the elephant foot yam + green gram intercropping system on comparison with the sole crop. The increase in corm equivalent yield under intercropping was 13.0 per cent over the sole crop. [23], reported that on intercropping ginger and turmeric with elephant foot yam, the LER was greater than one in all the intercropping systems and the range of yield advantage over sole cropping of elephant foot yam was between 33 and 64 per cent. The highest LER of 1.64 was computed in EFY + turmeric system (1:2 row arrangement). Similar trend was observed in corm equivalent yield also.

[6] in his study opined that cassava when intercropped with legumes resulted in land equivalent ratio greater than one clearly depicting the advantageous nature of the intercropping system. As per the results the pure stands required 74, 40 and 46 per cent more land i.e. there was a yield advantage of about 76, 51 and 15 per cent for soybean, haricot bean and cowpea, respectively when intercropped in cassava than pure stand of these crops. In a field study conducted by [13], where cassava was intercropped with legumes LER was greater irrespective of the legume crop. The maximum LER was achieved through the cultivation of cassava in conjunction with cowpea (1.56), with cassava paired with haricot bean (1.54) following closely behind. Conversely, the minimum LER was observed in cassava intercropped with pigeon pea (1.21).

## 2.4 Quality Attributes and Weed Dynamics

The quality aspects of tuber crops such as starch content, crude protein content oxalate content etc. were greatly influenced by intercropping. [28] reported that there was significant effect of intercrops on starch and calcium oxalate whereas no effect was observed in the total sugar content. Intercropping of elephant foot yam with ginger and turmeric resulted in higher starch content in corms than the sole crop of elephant foot yam. On comparison with the sole crop a significantly higher amount of starch content was noticed in elephant foot yam + turmeric (1:2) intercropping system.

Weed suppression, the reduction of weed growth by crop interference, has been referred as one determinant of yield advantage of intercropping, being a viable alternative to reduce the reliance

### Comment [UdW7]: Section 2.4:

1st - Once again, what is the objective of the review? Is it the physiological or technical characteristics, or both? If it is both, it is better to create distinct sections, separating the physiological changes in one section and the cultural benefits in another section.  
Here the information is shallow and mixed.

of weed management on herbicide use. Recent studies have addressed intercropping as an option for an integrated weed particularly in farming systems with low external inputs management [1].

[31], in a field study revealed that intercrops reduced the number of weeds in potato crops compared to the control (no intercrops). The lowest values for dry weight and the number of weeds were recorded in the case of potato plantation grown after Persian clover ploughed down in the autumn. Overall, the findings highlight the significance of intercropping as a sustainable weed management practice in potato cultivation, offering a natural and environmentally friendly approach to weed control. [36] opined that cassava + pumpkin had significant impact on weed suppression. The crop combination decreased weed growth by 5-19 per cent and 74-140 per cent when compared with the sole crop pumpkin and cassava, respectively.

## 2.5 Soil Properties

On integrating legume crops such as lima bean and dolichos bean into potato cropping system, [29] observed that there was significant effect on the soil moisture content as well as the soil temperature. A significant increase of 30 per cent in the soil water content and a decrease in the soil temperature by up to 7.3 °C was seen.[44] in a study where cassava was intercropped with groundnut reported an increase in the available N, P, K by nearly 20 folds, and the organic matter increased by almost 40 per cent compared to the control soils and pH value. There was also an increase of about 78.5 per cent in the urease activity under the intercropping system. Cropping of non-nitrogen fixing crops with nitrogen fixing legume crops can improve soil fertility.[6], reported that the highest organic matter and total nitrogen was recorded for the sole crop of cassava which was followed by cassava + soyabean cropping system. The pH as well as EC of the soil was significantly influenced by the intercropping.In the study conducted by [45], an increase in pH, organic carbon and N values were observed in potato + mucuna intercropping systems over the sole crop was observed. On comparison with the initial soil chemical properties, there was an increase of 36.66,11.93, 1.81(%) of N (%), OC (%) and pH respectively after the cropping period.

## 2.6 Economics of Cultivation

[9], opined that the highest net returns and the income per rupee investment were realized from elephant foot yam + cowpea intercropping which was followed by EFY as sole crop. However, the lowest net return was computed from elephant foot yam + cucumber intercropping system.

[28], observed highest gross returns net returns and benefit: cost ratio on intercropping elephant foot yam with ginger (1:2 ratio). [17] documented that the intercropping system yielding the highest profit was the combination of potato and dolichos, demonstrating a net income of US\$ 9174 per hectare and a benefit-cost ratio of 5.7. This system surpassed the sole potato cultivation in terms of profitability.[21], in his work observed that green gram could be grown as a remunerative intercrop in elephant foot yam. The results revealed that there was an increase of about 16.9 per cent in the net returns on intercropping with green gram on comparison with the sole crop. Elephant foot yam + green gram combination also resulted in significantly higher B: C ratio of 1.8.The field study

### Comment [UdW8]: Section 2.5

1st - This section should be together with the section on the benefits of intercropping.

### Comment [UdW9]: Section 2.6

1st - Once again, what is the objective of the review? Is it the physiological or technical characteristics, or both? If it is both, it is better to create separate sections, separating the physiological changes in one section and the cultural benefits in another section. Here the information is shallow.

conducted by [23] revealed the potential of turmeric as an effective intercrop for EFY. On comparison with the sole crop, EFY + turmeric in 1:1 row arrangement resulted in highest benefit cost ratio of 1.90 followed by EFY + turmeric in 1:2 row arrangement (1.81).

### 3. EFFECT OF NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON TUBER CROPS

Nutrient management in tuber crops, like cassava and yams, is crucial for optimal growth. Research shows high response of the tuber crops to manures and fertilizers, emphasizing the importance of proper nutrient supply.

#### 3.1 Growth and Growth Attributes of Tuber Crops

In a study conducted by [4], in eastern Uttar Pradesh, incorporation of organic sources of nutrients, such as FYM and vermicompost, along with inorganic fertilizers proved its potentiality in significantly improving the growth and growth attributes of EFY. A maximum canopy spread of 77.00 cm was observed when EFY was treated with 150:60:120 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50 kg N substituted through FYM indicating better plant growth and development. The organic production strategy for EFY standardized by [41] revealed that a green biomass of 20-25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> could be realized by the application of FYM @36 t ha<sup>-1</sup> [cowdung + neem-cake mixture in (10:1) inoculated with *Trichoderma harzianum*] along with cowpea as green manure.

[49] reported that in EFY significantly taller plants (65.27cm) were observed in 100 % RDF (as inorganic) 80:40:100 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>, followed by the application of 75% RDF (as inorganic) + 25% RDF (as organic) + PSB @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (57.15cm). A similar trend was observed in case of EFY canopy spread. Significant variation in pseudostem height and diameter, canopy expansion, and the quantity of leaflets per individual plant was observed by [34] at 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> months after planting (MAP) in relation to the various level of nutrient application. The treatment combination of FYM @10t ha<sup>-1</sup>, combined with N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O at 100:60:100kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, MgSO<sub>4</sub>@ 20kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>@ 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and Borax @ 10kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yielded an increase in pseudostem height, enhanced pseudostem diameter, greater canopy spread, and an increased number of leaves per plant at both the 3rd and 5th MAP.

Among the different treatments, [22] application of nutrients based on soil test results recorded significantly taller plants with a greater pseudostem girth, and number of leaves over control. The INM treatment in cassava (FYM @25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>+ 100% RDF + cassava booster spray) established higher values of LAI during the initial growth phases compared to other treatments. Similar trend was observed in the crop growth rate of cassava plants [3]. [14] revealed that when potato plants were treated with nutrients in an integrated manner *i.e.* vermicompost + urea + FYM + neem cake, consistently exhibited the highest plant weight (23.633 cm) at different growth stages (30 DAS, 60 DAS, 90 DAS), indicating a positive effect on plant growth.

#### 2.4.2 Yield Attributes and Yield of Tuber Crops

#### Comment [UdW10]:

Section 3:

1st - What is the purpose of this part of the discussion?

2nd - This part of the text should clarify the main fertilization systems for tuber crops. What are the differences between these systems and what are the differences in fertilization systems when tubers are grown in intercropping?

[37], opined that the application of recommended dose of fertilizers as inorganic source realized highest tuber yield in elephant foot yam for two seasons and it was on par with the results obtained by the application of 75 per cent of nutrients through inorganic source and 25 per cent of nutrients through organic sources. The results were in line with those recorded by [38].

The effectiveness of integrated nutrient management on the yield of elephant foot yam was demonstrated by [4], where the application of 50:60:120 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> + 50 kg N substituted through FYM resulted in significantly superior corm weight per plant (2.64 kg) and total corm yield per hectare (44.24 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). In an experiment conducted by [35] there was significant difference in the corm diameter was observed as a result of different levels of organic and inorganic fertilizer levels. The results revealed that the application of FYM @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + NPK @ 100:60:100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in highest corm diameter and it was on par with the application FYM @ 25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + NPK @ 80:60:80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. [49], in the field study reported that the application of 75% RDF (as inorganic) + 25% RDF (as organic) + PSB @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in significantly superior corm yield (53.47 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Vellayani Hraswa when treated with combination of poultry manure @ 2.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + cowpea (green manuring in situ) + 75: 25: 100 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in higher tuber yield of in lowlands [32]. [24] reported that the combined application of chemical fertilizers along with PGPR resulted in maximum of single storage root fresh weight and storage root yield of cassava. The chemical fertilizer recommendation (CFR) + PGPR gave higher storage root yield by 14 per cent when compared with the sole application CFR.

[18] reported that a positive correlation existed between the application of nutrients (inorganic and organic inputs) and the yield attributes. The results of the study revealed that maximum values for yield parameters were recorded when nutrients were given as vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 100 % RDF (80:40:100 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) + consortium biofertilizers 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, followed by vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 100 % RDF (80:40:100 Kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the least values were recorded in control.

The importance of INM in tuber bulking of cassava was depicted by [3] where the application of FYM @25 t ha<sup>-1</sup>+ 100% RDF + cassava booster spray has recorded significantly higher tuber bulking rate (TBR) throughout all phases of crop growth. A similar trend was observed in the mean tuber bulking rate also.

#### **2.4.3 Quality Attributes of Tuber Crops**

In a study conducted by [26], maximum starch and protein contents of the corms were observed at when EFY was treated with a relatively higher dose higher N and K doses. [49] reported that the oxalic acid content of EFY was observed to be the highest (102.49 mg/100 g) in 100% RDF (80:40:100 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) was given as inorganic form which was followed by 50% RDF (as inorganic) + 25% RDF (as organic) +AMF @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + Azospirillum @ 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (96.30 mg/100g).

The crude protein content, starch content and carotenoid content of cassava were greatly influenced by K fertigation. The results revealed that the above-mentioned quality parameters were

Comment [UdW11]: Out of order of presentation

remarkably higher in those cassava plants which were subjected to 100 per cent irrigation along with K application @ 16mM [50].

[24] reported the effective of combining chemical fertilizers along with microbial inoculum such as PGPR improved the quality parameters of tuber crops. On application of nutrients as 80 % complete fertilizer recommendation + PGPR resulted in higher starch content of tubers in cassava. The integrated nutrient management showed significant influence on the quality attributes of EFY.[18], opined that the application of vermicompost 5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> + 100 % RDF(80:40:100 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>) + CBF 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in maximum values for quality parameters of EFY.

#### 4. REFERENCES

- 1) Agegnehu G, Ghizaw A, Sinebo W. Yield performance and land use efficiency of barley and faba bean mixed cropping in Ethiopian highlands. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 2006; 25: 202-207.
- 2) Akhteruzzaman M, Islam MN, Nag BL, Rahman MT. Productivity of potato-hybridmaize relay cropping under different fertilizer levels. *Eco-Friendly Agriculture Journal*, 2008; 1(5): 300–303.
- 3) Aravind S A, Somasundaram E, Sanbagavalli S, Kavitha P.S, Venkatachalam S.R, Sunitha R. Impact of organic nutrient management practices on growth dynamics and economics of short-duration cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz.). *Agricultural Science Digest*, 2024; 44(2): 212-218.
- 4) Bairagi S K, Singh, P. Effect of Different Integrated Nutrient Management Practices on Elephant Foot Yam (*Amorphophallus Paeoniifolius* Dennst.) under Eastern Uttar Pradesh Conditions. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*, 2013; 8(2), 565-567.
- 5) Bashan Y, de-Bashan L E. How the plant growth-promoting bacterium *Azospirillum* promotes plant growth: A critical assessment. *Advances in Agronomy*, 2010; 108: 77-136.
- 6) Benti G, Degafa G, Jafar M, Birhanu H. Effect of cassava intercropping with legume crops followed by sorghum on growth, yield and yield parameters of cassava-based double cropping system. *Plant*, 2020;8(2): 37-42.
- 7) Caballero R, Goicoechea E L, Hernaiz P J. Forage yields and quality of common vetch and oat sown at varying seeding ratios and seeding rates of common vetch. *Field Crops Research*, 1995; 41:135–140.
- 8) Cakmak I. Potassium for better crop production and quality. *Plant and Soil*, 2010; 335: 1–2.
- 9) Chattopadhyay A, Mukhopadhyay S K, Nath R. Short duration vegetables as intercrops in elephant foot yam in the Gangetic alluvium of West Bengal: Analysis of growth, yield and economics. *Journal of Root Crops*, 2008; 34(1): 10–14.
- 10) Compant S, Clement C, Sessitsch A. Plant growth promoting bacteria in the rhizo-and endosphere of plants: Their role, colonization, mechanisms involved and prospects for utilization. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2010; 42(5): 669-678.
- 11) Devi I P A, John N S, John K S, Jeeva M L, Misra R S. Rock inhabiting potassium solubilizing bacteria from Kerala, India: Characterization and possibility of chemical K fertilizer substitution. *Journal of Basic Microbiology*, 2015; 55(11): 1,326–1,335.
- 12) Duca D, Lorv J, Patten C L, Rose D, Glick B R. Indole- 3-acetic acid in plant-microbe interactions. *A Van Leeuw Journal of Microbiology*, 2014; 106: 85-125.
- 13) Gezahegn B, Tadesse A, Tadesse A, Kelifa Z. Effect of cassava legumes intercropping on yield and yield components of compound crops in Jinka on station, Southern Ethiopia. *International Journal of Agricultural Research Innovation and Technology*, 2022; 12(1): 30-33.

Comment [UdW12]:  
References:

1 – Some references should be updated to the most recent year possible.

- 14) Ghosh M, Saha S, Kumar J. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, 2024; 27(5): 225-232.
- 15) Ghosh P K. Growth yield competition and economics of groundnut/cereal fodder intercropping systems in the semi-arid tropics of India. *Field Crops Research*, 2004; 88:227–237.
- 16) Gitari H I, O Nyawade S, Kamau S, Gachene C K K, Karanja N N, Schulte-Geldermann E. Increasing potato equivalent yield increases returns to investment under potato-legume intercropping systems. *Open Agriculture*, 2019; 4: 623-629.
- 17) Gitari H I. Potato-Legume Intercrop Effects on Water and Nutrients Use Efficiency, Crop Productivity and Soil Fertility in a Humic Nitisol, Kenya. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Nairobi, 2018. 99pp.
- 18) Gokul A P, Palanimuthu A, Shabitha P B. Studies on integrated nutrient management on yield and quality characters of elephant foot yam (*Amorphophalluspaeoniifolius* (Dennst.) Nicolsan) cv. Gajendra. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 2023; 35(21): 177-183.
- 19) Islam MN, Haque MM, HamidA. Planting arrangement and population density effects on the physiological attributes and productivity of maize–bush bean intercropping systems. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2006; 31(3): 353–364.
- 20) Islam MN, Haque MM, Hamid A. Productivity and competitive interference in maize + bush bean intercropping system in different sowing dates. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2004; 29(2): 193– 201.
- 21) Jata S K, Nedunchezhiyan M, Maity S K, Mallikarjun M. Intercrop and drip irrigation effects on growth, yield, water-use efficiency and economics of elephant foot yam (*Amorphophalluspaeoniifolius*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 2018; 63 (4): 506-512.
- 22) Kamalkumaran P R, Velmurugan M, Anand M, Arumugam T. Site specific nutrient management in elephant foot yam (*Amorphophalluspaeoniifolius*(Dennst.) Nicolson). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 2020; 8(6): 252-255.
- 23) Lakshmi R N, Padma E, Bhagavan B V K, Mamatha K, Naidu M M. Yield and Economics of Intercropping Turmeric and Ginger in Elephant Foot Yam. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*, 2020; 11: 1247-1253.
- 24) Laoken A, Polthanee A, Promkhambut A, Tre-loges V. Effect of Nutrient Management on Root Yield and Economic Value of Cassava Grown after Rice under Rainfed Conditions. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2023; 57(1): 84-88.
- 25) Lugtenberg B, Kamilova, F. Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Annals of Microbiology*, 2009; 63: 541-556.
- 26) Murthy G N, Padma E, Bhagavan B V K, Naga Lakshmi R. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of (*Amorphophalluspaeoniifolius* (Dennst.) Nicolsan) cv. Gajendra. *National Seminar, Climate change and food security: Challenges and opportunities for tuber crops*. 2011;20-22.
- 27) Nassab M D A, Amonb T, Kaul H P. 2011. Competition and yield in intercrops of maize and sunflower for biogas. *Indian Crops Production*, 2011; 34:1203–1211.
- 28) Nedunchezhiyan M. Production potential of intercropping spices in elephant foot yam (*Amorphophalluspaeoniifolius*). *Indian Journal Agronomy*, 2014; 59(4): 596–601.
- 29) Nyawade S O, Karanja N N, Gachene C K K , Gitari H I , Schulte-Geldermann E, Parker M L. Short-term dynamics of soil organic matter fractions and microbial activity in smallholder legume intercropping systems. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 2019;142:123–135.
- 30) Obadoni B O, Mensah J K, Emua S. A. Productivity of intercropping system using *Amaranthuscruentus* (L.) and *Abelmoschus esculentus* (Moench) in Edo State Nigeria. *World Rural Observations*, 2010; 2:66–74.
- 31) Plaza A, Ceglarek F, Królikowska M A. The influence of intercrops and farmyard manure fertilization in changeable weather conditions on consumption value of potato tubers. *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, 2010;1: 47-54.

- 32) Pooja A P, Swadija O K. Effect of integrated nutrient management on the performance of cassava + green manure cowpea system in lowlands. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 2020; 16(3): 110-115.
- 33) Richardson A E, Simpson R J. Soil microorganisms mediating phosphorus availability update on microbial phosphorus. *Plant Physiology*, 2011; 156(3): 989-996.
- 34) Sahoo B, Nedunchezhiyan M, Acharyya P, Munshi R, Sahu D, Pradhan R. effect of secondary and micronutrients on growth attributes and yield of elephant foot yam (*Amorphophalluspaeoniifolius*). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*, 2019; 8(05): 323-330.
- 35) Sahoo B, Nedunchezhiyan M, Acharyya P. Effects of organic and inorganic fertilizers on yield of elephant foot yam and soil enzymes activity. *Journal of Root Crops*, 2014; 40 (2):33-39.
- 36) Salau A W, Olasantan F O, Bodunde J G. Effect of intercropping pumpkin with cassava on soil micro- environment, weed control, crop growth and yields. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Environment*, 2011; 11(1): 114-127.
- 37) Saraswathi T, Nageswari K, Pugalendhi L, Sathiyamoorthy V A. Integrated nutrient management in elephant foot yam. *National Seminar on Amorphophallus: Innovative Technologies*, 2008;19-20.
- 38) Saravaiya S N, Chaudhary P P, Patel D A, Patel B, Ahir M P, Patel V I. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield parameters of elephant foot yam under south Gujarat condition. *Asian Journal of Horticulture*, 2010; 5(1):58 -60.
- 39) Singh R, Bhushan S, Santosh K, Ravi S. Yield assessment of elephant foot yam grown under multilayer vegetable cropping system. *Bioscan*,2013; 8(4): 1237–1240.
- 40) Suja G, Nayar T V R, Potty V P, Sundaresan S. Organic farming: a viable strategy for high yield and quality tuber crop production. *Indian Horticulture*, 2006; 51 (6): 4-5.
- 41) Suja G, Sreekumar J, Jyothi A N. Organic production of aroids and yams. *Technical Bulletin Series No.64, ICAR, Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India*, 2015; p. 131.
- 42) Suja G, Sundaresan S. Organic elephant foot yam production: A feasible strategy for high yield and income. In: *Abstract Book. Status Papers and Extended Summary, National Seminar on Amorphophallus: Innovative Technologies*, 2008.19-20 July, Patna, Bihar, 2008; pp.139-141.
- 43) Taheri N, Sharif-Abad H H, Yousefi K, Roholla-Mousavi S. Effect of compost and animal manure with phosphorus and zinc fertilizer on yield of seed potatoes. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 2012; 12(4): 705-714.
- 44) Tang X, Zhong R, Jiang J, He L, Huang Z, Shi G, Wu H, Liu J, Xiong F, Han Z, Tang R, He L. Cassava/peanut intercropping improves soil quality via rhizospheric microbes increased available nitrogen contents. *BMC Biotechnology*, 2020; 20:13.
- 45) Tchappa F J N, Chotangui A H, Fouegag M T, Mubeteneh T C. Effects of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) – *Mucuna pruriens* intercropping pattern on the agronomic performances of potato and the soil physicochemical properties of the western highlands of Cameroon. *Open Agriculture*, 2023; 8:20220142.
- 46) Thirumdasu R K, Bijaya A K D, Thokchom M. Analysis of growth, physiological aspects and yield of elephant foot yam, *Amorphophalluscampanulatus*Roxb Blume cv Gajendra in spice intercropping system under sloppy foothills of Imphal East. *International Journal of Farm Science*,2015; 5(4): 118-126.
- 47) Tiwari K N. Nutrient management of sustainable agriculture. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, 2002; 5(4):374-397.
- 48) Tu C, Ristaino J B, Hu S. Soil microbial biomass and activity in organic tomato farming systems: Effects of organic inputs and straw mulching. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 2006; 38: 247-255.

- 49) Venkatesan K, Saraswathi T, Jansirani P, Kamalkumar R, Sujatha, R. Integrated nutrient management improves yield and quality in elephant foot yam. *Journal of Root Crops*, 2015; 39(2): 257-260.
- 50) Wasonga D O, Kleemola J, Alakukku L, Mäkelä P S A. Potassium fertigation with deficit irrigation improves the nutritive quality of cassava. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food System*, 2020; 4:575353.
- 51) Zhang G, Yang Z, Dong S. Interspecific competitiveness affects the total biomass yield in an alfalfa and corn intercropping system. *Field Crops Research*, 2011; doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2011.06.006.

UNDER PEER REVIEW