

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_123456
Title of the Manuscript:	Intercropping and nutrient management in tuber crops- A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is of great utility to the scientific community, especially in Asia. However, it would be beneficial if there were a clearer plan for the text, elucidated through a specific objective. At times, the authors stray from the main discussion, which is about nutrient management in intercropping systems with tubers and other crops. Additionally, the chronological sequence of the text could be better organized, and the discussions could be more in-depth. I recommend that the authors define a clearer objective and follow it, as well as explore more results obtained from the literature.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract focuses too much on the importance of tubers for India and fails to indicate the benefits of intercropping this crop with others and the implications for nutrient management.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is of great utility to the scientific community, especially in Asia. However, it would be beneficial if there were a clearer plan for the text, elucidated through a specific objective. At times, the authors stray from the main discussion, which is about nutrient management in intercropping systems with tubers and other crops. Additionally, the chronological sequence of the text could be better organized, and the discussions could be more in-depth. I recommend that the authors define a clearer objective and follow it, as well as explore more results obtained from the literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are many sentences without references, others with old references. Authors should reference all sentences with the most current references possible.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	All the corrections pointed out by the reviewer has been done and marked in highlight for easy identification.

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fillipe Vieira de Araújo
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri, Brazil