

ReviewForm3

Journal Name:	AsianJournalofResearchin Medical andPharmaceutical Sciences
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJRIMPS_123465
Titleof theManuscript:	EVALUATION OFRECOVERYRATEINPOISONPATIENTSWITHORWITHOUTSTANDARD ANTIDOTETREATMENT
Type of theArticle	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author'sFeedback(Pleasecorrectthemanuscript andhighlight thatpart inthemanuscript.Itismandatory that authorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Poisoning is a common cause of death in India, which is often related to mental health issues. This manuscript briefly outlines its prevalence and recovery rate. By understanding the common causes and practices associated with poisoning, more effective solutions can be developed to address its root causes.</p> <p>The manuscript also emphasizes the critical role of timely antidote administration in improving the title is short and to the point, revealing the gist of the article in a few words. No changes are needed here.</p>	0
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is self-explanatory and comprehensive. No points need to be added.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, although it would be better if the methodology had clear exclusion and inclusion criteria, written separately in a more well-defined manner.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically correct, as depicted by its simple methodology, reliable sources of data, and adherence to established research. Additionally, the analysis and interpretation of the results are supported by scientific literature relevant to the subject, hence; there is full comprehension of the subject.</p> <p>On the whole, it is a technically robust manuscript that is sound and logical in approach, addressing</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, the references seem sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The vocabulary could be improved for better clarity and impact. Besides, repetitive grammatical structures can be minimized to enhance the overall flow and readability of the text.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>none</p>	

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P Jaya Krishna Babu
Department, University & Country	Army College of Dental Sciences, India