

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_122713
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Compressed Sensing with Graph Structural Constraints: A Novel Approach to Active Learning in Measurement Matrices
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is written in comprehensive manner.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References should be keep latest articles.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>Recommending revising the article.</p> <p>Comment#1: The manuscript margins, columns and sections are not prepared in good quality suitable for standard journal.</p> <p>Comment#2: Authors should revise better and more the current literature in the field.</p> <p>Comment#3: The introduction and related work sections can be shortened to limit the length of the manuscript.</p> <p>Comment#4: Abstract is written in comprehensive manner.</p> <p>Comment#5: Capital letters are not use properly.</p> <p>Comment#6: Authors repeated discuss the basic concept which not important in this part, authors should focus directly on the proposed method.</p> <p>Comment#7: There are some grammatical errors. English must be improved.</p> <p>Comment#8: The authors should explain better the curves in Figures</p> <p>Comment #9: Few justifications of results required.</p> <p>Comment#10: There are no citations in body of text except introduction section?.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahesh Mudavath
Department, University & Country	Siddhartha Institute of Technology and Sciences, India