

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJPR_122412</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Clinical study of 435 children with Tourette syndrome</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In recent times young children have been facing with many neurological issues which makes it important to do research in this age group. This is will help to know current treatment options suitable for various neuro-related medical issues.. The current tests needed for diagnosis of particular condition or differentiate from other neurological issues as they sometimes may over lap.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Details about study site and study population age group needs to be mentioned. No mention about IP/OP/PICU wards of study participants. No mention about consent taken from participants.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Study shows still 10.4% participants having ineffective treatment with TCM which might be a concern. Method section required more explanation on how cases reviewed and analysed. Statistical analysis not used properly or no enough information on how anlysis done.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References not sufficient. Recent references not included. Some are very old.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Divya Amaravadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Anurag University, India</b>