

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Immunology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJI_123083</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SHARED ALLERGENICITY IN A LAPIN DELAYED HTPERSENSITIVITY TO GRAM NEGATIVE PROTOPLASMIC SONICATE PROTEINS PSP</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short communication</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ <i>This is a well-written short communication that only needs to undergo a few minor changes. First, ...</i></li> <li>➤ <i>"The authors conduct very relevant research, but fail to emphasise the relevance in their introduction."</i></li> <li>➤ <i>"To improve the readability of the paper, I suggest dividing the analysis into several subsections."</i></li> <li>➤ <i>"Table 1 and 2 can be combined to create a better overview."</i></li> <li>➤ <i>"The abstract is too long and should be shortened."</i></li> <li>➤ <i>"Throughout the manuscript, there are several language mistakes. Therefore, I recommend a professional round of language editing before the paper is published."</i></li> </ul>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Need to Correct language mistakes</b>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>yes</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>This will help the clinicians to treat the patients in a better way.</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>yes</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>No</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nissar A Wani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Kashmir, India</b>