

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_123939
Title of the Manuscript:	Role perception profile of agro input dealers in providing agro advisory services in locale Gaya of Bihar
Type of the Article	

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a good study to inform the policy makers and farmers community on the potential of agro dealers in developing agriculture sector in as far as extension service improvement concerns in India	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need some improvement for; 1. Grammar errors 2. Methodology explained clearly matching it with in the document...example sampling technique is not the same (see the reviewed Paper corrected tracked changes) 3. You can expand a bit up to 350 words	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, the author need to follow the manuscript guide as per the journal	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper is scientifically robust in the sense that; it has used original data from the ground to test the perception by using social science methodology. It provides an insight recommendation to foster the agro dealers sub sector in catalysing the agricultural development of the country. Though it needs more improvement in the methodology part to justify this.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, some are too old ones	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

## Review Form 3

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> No	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jackson Bulili Machibya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Rural Development Planning, Tanzania</b>