

## Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJAEES_123547</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Causes and Impacts of Different Extension Service Strategies in Bangladesh's Agriculture</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The content of this paper is relatively sufficient as a whole, and the author(s) have really prepared for the research looking at the adequate references on the same field and conducts a comprehensive review on this topic. The paper is organized logically and structurally clear. The purpose and significance of the study is clearly stated. But there are still some shortcomings in the paper.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title is ok. However, it can be slightly refined for clarity and precision. Thus "Causes and Impacts of Various Agricultural Extension Service Strategies in Bangladesh"</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>You may consider adding a brief sentence at the beginning to introduce the problem. The abstract provides a clear overview of the results of the study, while it is well-structured, it could be further enhanced by providing a more specific statement regarding the study's contribution. The practical implications could be more impactful by highlighting the broader implications of the study's findings.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yeah, but ensure to transferred Table 1 into either" Results" sub-section or "Results and Discussion" sub-section.</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript offers a robust, technically sound framework that integrates diverse literature, emphasizes relational dynamics, and accommodates various contextual factors that shape agricultural extension work.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Counter-check the list of references and make sure that all the in-text citations are all included in the list of references.</p>	

## Review Form 3

<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>If applicable, specify the type of questionnaire used (e.g., online survey, paper-based questionnaire). This can provide more context about the data collection process. Has the questionnaire been validated and tested for reliability? If yes, you may consider providing the information on the result of the test. Also, include the selection criteria used in selecting the respondents. Furthermore, ensure to writes the actual number of respondents surveyed, as it was written on your '3. Method' "396 extension workers surveyed" while in your abstract under 'Methodology' "A survey of 400 extension officers".</p> <p>Also, to maximize the use of keywords for indexing basis in search engines, include other words not yet used in the title.</p> <p><b>Note:</b> Other comment are highlighted in the revised manuscript.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Ibrahim Muhammad Abdul</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Nigerian Stored Products Research Institute, Nigeria</b></p>