

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Archives of Current Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ACRI_123214 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Correlation studies on pollen attributes and grain yield under drought stress in a wild multiparental population of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Yes, the article is important for Agricultural scientists. The manuscript is of great importance in the current scenario of climate change. Such article urgently needed by the research community specially researcher working on rice. It is suggested that a summary table should be added in the article. The table should contain findings of most recent studies (last 10 years) regarding genotypes of rice and drought stress and all finding which discussed in this manuscript. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, title of the manuscript is suitable | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract is comprehensive but needs some improvements, it appears to be lacking the fluency which is of prime important in scientific nature. Please make the abstract fluent. Add a sentence to show the importance of drought stress in a wild multi-parental population of rice genotypes which will assist plant breeders to improve drought stress in rice . | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes, the overall structure and subsection is appropriate for the article, but Heading format not followed as per requirement. | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The facts and findings discussed in article are scientifically correct. The manuscript is of great importance in the current scenario of climate change. Some findings are important regarding the improvements. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | All the citations are according to journal style; References are also according to the journal format Numbering should be added in References | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, the quality of English used in this article is up to the mark required for the scholarly communication. | |
| Optional/General comments | Some of the typo mistake are mentioned in the track tray changes which is visible to editor these are minor mistakes, these should be fixed accordingly | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Muqadas Noor |
| Department, University & Country | University of Sargodha, Pakistan |