

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_122306
Title of the Manuscript:	PRIORITIZATION OF RESEARCH AREA IN BANANA AS PERCEIVED BY FARMERS OF THENI DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The ms evaluates the primary constraints to plantain/banana production in an area of India. In doing so, helps point the way toward research priorities. The publication of the article would provide researchers and field practitioners ready access to statistical data on the more important constraints facing banana/plantain farmers from the perspective of the farmers. This is useful to researchers working in India and cross-culturally.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I would switch the emphasis to focused on the constraints. Priorities are secondary and the author does not delve into this from a research perspective, meaning does not link this priority to other research.  "Constraints Of Banana/Plaintain Production As Perceived By Farmers Of Theni District	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, fine	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is a very simple article. But also effective because it uses practical statistical sampling design and intuitively easy to understand analytic techniques.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	For this short article that is basically focus on publishing study results, yes, enough.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Need to add that we're talking about India, as obvious as that might seem to the author and journal, it does not say India in intro.  I'm thinking this is not about bananas but plantains. Would like to see a little more on the actually cultivars being grown.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Timothy T Schwartz</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Haiti</b>