

### Review Form 3

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JSRR_122022</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Dehydration Of Green Leafy Vegetable And Nutritional Evaluation Of Leaf Powder Of Bengal Gram, Fenugreek, And Spinach Leaf</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>                        | <p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community because it provides valuable insights into the nutritional evaluation of dehydrated leafy green vegetables, which is crucial for the development of nutrient-rich food products. The detailed analysis of Bengal gram, fenugreek, and spinach leaf powders offers a foundation for further research on their health benefits and applications in addressing malnutrition. The study's findings contribute to the growing knowledge of food preservation and nutrition, making it a significant resource for food scientists and public health professionals. Additionally, the methodology used in this study is solid, and the data is well-presented, enhancing the credibility and relevance of this manuscript.</p> |   |
| <p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>  | <p>The current title is still too general. Please clarify the specific aim of this study. The title could be paraphrased into a more detailed form so that readers can clearly understand and differentiate the aim of the current study.<br/> <b>Here are some clearer title suggestions:</b><br/> <b>"Evaluating the Nutritional Value of Dehydrated Green Leafy Vegetables: Bengal Gram, Fenugreek, and Spinach"</b><br/> <b>Or "Dehydration Effects on the Nutritional Composition of Bengal Gram, Fenugreek, and Spinach Leaf Powders"</b><br/> <b>These titles are more focused and provide a clearer picture of the research objectives.</b></p>  |   |
| <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>   | <p>What are the issues or concerns that prompted this study? Please state this clearly at the beginning. The abstract should be an average of 100-250 words and include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Introductory statement</li> <li>- Objective</li> <li>- Scope/Methodology</li> <li>- Findings</li> <li>- Conclusion</li> </ul> <p>Add keywords that adequately represent the article.</p>   |   |
| <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>  | <p>There are some elements that need to be added; a literature review is missing</p>   |   |
| <p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p> | <p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its rigorous methodology and detailed analysis of the nutritional aspects of dehydrated green leafy vegetables. The study employs well-established techniques for nutrient evaluation, such as moisture content analysis, fiber measurement, and vitamin quantification, which are crucial for accurate results. Additionally, the manuscript provides a thorough comparison with existing literature, demonstrating a clear understanding of current research and methodologies. The systematic approach and use of reliable data enhance the credibility and validity of the findings, making this research a valuable contribution to the field. <i>terjemahkan ke bahasa indonesia</i></p>              |   |
| <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.<br/>=</p>   | <p>Consider the following aspects when evaluating the references:</p> <p>First, ensure that the references comprehensively cover key topics and recent developments relevant to the study. Second, verify that most of the references are from the past 10 years, reflecting the latest research and trends in the field. Third, check that the references include a mix of seminal works and recent studies, including peer-reviewed journal articles.</p> <p>If additional references are needed, suggest recent and relevant studies or reviews that could strengthen the manuscript.</p>   |   |

### Review Form 3

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <b>Minor REVISION</b> comments<br><br><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b> | The quality of language and English in the article is essential for scholarly communication. It is crucial to evaluate clarity and precision, ensuring that the language is clear and avoids ambiguity. Additionally, accurate use of field-specific technical terminology is important for effective communication. Proper grammar and syntax are also crucial to make the text easily understandable. Lastly, the tone should be formal and academic to meet the standards of scholarly writing. If these criteria are met, the article is likely suitable for scholarly communication; otherwise, revisions may be necessary. |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   | Please revise the article to enhance its quality by refining the sentences.  |  |

### **PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Lati Sari Dewi</b>                                     |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Latifah Mubarokiyah School of Economics, Indonesia</b> |