

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_122352</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Prevalence of E. coli associated diarrhoea in goats in different areas of Chhattisgarh</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Jack o f Nov elt y**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research manuscript has much significance as diarrhoea in goats decreases productivity of animal. I like this manuscript because this has novelty. Moreover, problem is properly addressed.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Satisfactory.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please rewrite the conclusion of abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is technically sound as this article is written in the proper scientific way. The study design is appropriate. Results are presented in very good way.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	More references should be added. Old references should be replaced by recent references.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammatically errors should be corrected. English editor is suggested.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Satisfactory	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Tanveer Ahmad
Department, University & Country	BZ University, Pakistan