

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SARJNP_121803</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study on the oxidative stress mechanism mediated by lead acetate in Pinellia ternata based on zebrafish model</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has potential to contribute to innovation of antioxidant from natural products. The creative application of material and instruments in the experiment expands availability and adaptability of methods for studying natural products for similar purpose. The concepts advanced and findings widens perspectives of knowledge in biomedical sciences.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title needs to be modified to include study material- Effect of Pinneli ternata on oxidative stress mediated by lead acetate on Zebra fish model	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript increases knowledge on a natural product, the methodology is scientifically sound and well adopted for the study Objective	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	For consistency, the author need to stick to either active or passive reporting for instance, under 2.1 second paragraph, instead of saying ' draw toxicity curve, the author could state ' toxicity curve was drawn	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is generally adequate in communicating new scientific information	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Antony Radol</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kenya Medical Training College, Kenya</b>