

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122595
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of procurement of milk and marketing of milk and milk products by Vijayapura and Bagalkot Milk Union Limited (VIMUL), Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This paper is about the management of milk procurement and marketing of milk and dairy products in a certain part of India. A thorough investigation has been conducted at the target location, and I believe the results are worthy of publication. However, since this is a scientific paper, I feel that there are some insufficiencies in the way that the observations made here are considered as conclusions and generally applicable to other places: One must show that other factors are not present. Otherwise, it cannot be applied to other regions. Even if it cannot be proven, if it can be shown that the same thing holds true in other places, then it can be scientifically proven. If you are aiming to submit this paper with as few revisions as possible, you should submit it under the Breaking News or Notes category, rather than the Original Research Article (because the last content of the above paragraph is not mentioned). If you do not want to change the classification, you will need to add empirical data from other places. Also, the contents of this paper seem to be focused on economics, so you may want to consider changing the journal to which you submit it.</p> <p>Below are some details that should be corrected in the current paper, so please use this as a reference for revisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I don't understand the meaning of "1986-87 to 2021-22" on the first page. - It would be better to standardize whether to indent the beginning of a paragraph by a certain number of characters. - Where does "1." appear before "2. Classification..." on page 9? - The way references are written should also be changed (position in the second column; how to write them in the main text). 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>		
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>		
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jun Kobayashi
Department, University & Country	University of Kochi, Japan