

**ReviewForm3**

JournalName:	<b>JournalofScientificResearchandReports</b>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>Ms_JSRR_122457</b>
Titleof theManuscript:	<b>AnalysingFallArmywormDynamicsinChhattisgarhMaizeAgroecology:SeasonalPatternandAbioticInfluences</b>
Typeof the Article	

**PART1: ReviewComments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISIONcomments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpart inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
<b>Pleasewriteafew sentencesregardingtheimportance ofthismanuscriptfor thescientificcommunity.Whydo youlike(ordislike)thismanuscript?Aminimumof3-4 sentencesmayberequiredforthispart.</b>	The subjectofthe studypresentedbytheauthorsisinterestingandtopical,withscientificandpractical importance.	
<b>Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)</b>	The titleofthearticleisappropriate,inrelationtothetopicaddressed	
<b>Istheabstractofthearticlecomprehensive?Doyou suggesttheaddition(ordeletion)of somepointsinthis section?Pleasewriteyoursuggestionshere.</b>	The articleAbstractiscomprehensiveandthekeywordsare representativeofthearticletopic	
<b>Are subsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?</b>	The subsectionsandstructureofthemanuscriptareappropriate,inaccordancewiththeGeneralGuidelinefor Authors,JournalofScientificResearchandReports.	
<b>Pleasewriteafew sentencesregardingthescientific correctnessofthismanuscript.Whydoyouthinkthat thismanuscriptisscientificalllyrobustandtechnically sound?Aminimumof3-4sentencesmayberequired forthispart.</b>	The introductionis presentedappropriately,inaccordancewiththesubjectofthe study.Numerousscintific articleshavebeenconsulted. Methodologyofthe studywasclearlypresented,andappropriatetothe proposedobjectives. Theobtainedresultsareimportant,andhavebeenanalyzedandinterpretedcorrectly,inaccordancewith the currentmethodology. Thediscussionsareappropriate,inthecontextoftheobtainedresults.	
<b>Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?If youhave suggestionsofadditionalreferences,pleasement ion theminthereviewform.</b>	The scientificliterature,towhichthereportingwas made,is recentandrepresentativeinthe field.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISIONcomments <b>Isthe language/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable forscholarlycommunications?</b>	IstheEnglishlanguage/qualityofthearticlesuitableforacademiccommunications,andthearticleisaccessible toreaders.	

### ReviewForm3

Optional/General comments	<p>1. Table1, page3 Thetableisoutsidethepagesettings Revisionisrecommended</p> <p>2. Pleasecheck" <math>df= 3.19</math>" Itispossibleto" <math>df= 3.19</math>",accordingtotheEnglishwritingofdecimalvalues</p> <p>Itisrecommendedtocheckthearticleandmakethecorrections,if necessary.</p> <p>The subject of the study is interesting and topical, with high scientific and practical importance. The introduction is presented correctly, in accordance with the subject. Methodology of the study was clearly presented, and appropriate to the proposed objectives. The obtained results are important and have been analyzed and interpreted correctly, in accordance with the current methodology. The discussions are appropriate, in the context of the results, and was conducted compared to other studies in the field. The scientific literature, to which the reporting was made, is recent and representative in the field. Some suggestions and corrections were made in the article. I appreciate that the article can be accepted for publication after minor corrections.</p>	
---------------------------	--	--

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Florin Sala
Department, University & Country	University of Life Sciences "King Mihai I" from Timisoara, Romania