

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122347
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of Locally Sourced Adsorbents for Abandoned Gold Mine Wastewater Bioremediation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is useful for the scientific community, because it is helpful to understand the bioremediation of Gold Mine Wastewater Bioremediation. The good and most interesting thing about this manuscript is the use of local adsorbents. Finally, it is fascinating to use locally available materials and a sorption process to reduce the concentrations of heavy metals and other pollutants in wastewater from abandoned gold mining sites.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>NOT, Comparative Analysis of Locally Sourced Adsorbents for Iponda and Idominasi, Abandoned Gold Mine Wastewater Bioremediation in Osun State, Nigeria.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>About the abstract: Abstracts commonly have these parts: introduction, purpose, method, result, and conclusion. There should not be any standout text in the abstract like: Aims, Study design, Place and Duration of Study, Methodology, Results, and Conclusion. "Remove them". The abstract should be brief, comprehensive summary of the contents of the paper. Do not mention them in your abstract.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The paper is well-written and entertaining to read, - Scientific findings are valid, substantial, and innovative, - The author is an expert in their field of study. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are recent but not that sufficient: The number of references must be at least 50, so the author must provide more references. Here are the parts that should be referenced: Sample Collection, Preparation of CCH as Adsorbent, Adsorption experiments, Physical and Chemical Characterization, Chloride ion test, Bicarbonate test, Conductivity test, Turbidity test, Confirmatory test, Bacteriological examination, Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Conclusion,...</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Author should provide the map of the study area and schematic of Sample Collection process. - Figures titles must be centred. - Material and methods: Author should provide the water quality standard according to Nigerian Government. - The length of the Conclusion should be similar to the Introduction 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Randrianarison Gilbert
Department, University & Country	University North Antsiranana, Madagascar