

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122127
Title of the Manuscript:	Prenatal Nutrition Knowledge and Fertility awareness in Women Seeking Treatment in a Tertiary Care Fertility Centre at Index Visit
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is well-structured and logically organized, with a clear flow of ideas. However, I suggest reordering Sections 3 and 4 for better coherence	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is self-explanatory. But it should've suggest the solution as well.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The study's abstract is appropriate and focuses on a relevant topic within the field. However, it might benefit from narrowing the research question to enhance the depth of analysis	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The study's scope is appropriate and focuses on a relevant topic within the field. However, it might benefit from tailoring the research question.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper makes a significant contribution to the field, particularly in its novel approach to the pregnant mothers for healthy baby delivery. The findings are robust and well-supported by the data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference list is comprehensive, but a couple of recent key papers should be included.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I recommend this paper for publication after minor revisions. The research is strong, and the suggestions provided should further enhance its quality. There are a few minor typographical errors in the text but a thorough proofreading would address these issues.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I recommend the authors expand the discussion section to address alternative interpretations of the data. The paper would benefit from a more thorough explanation of the education of folic acid administration to the women, especially for readers who might not be familiar with this area. Consider adding a section on potential practical applications of the research to broaden its impact.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mudassar Abbas Siddique
Department, University & Country	Pakistan