

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_121228</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SOME ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF GROUNDNUT FOR THE DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF GROUNDNUT DECORTICATOR</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the critical need for improved agricultural machinery, specifically groundnut decorticators. By detailing the engineering properties of groundnut pods, the study provides valuable data that can enhance the design and efficiency of decorticating machines. This can lead to better post-harvest processing, increased productivity, and reduced labor costs for farmers. The research is timely and relevant, contributing to the development of robust and flexible battery-operated decorticators, which are particularly beneficial for small-scale farmers in developing regions.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Essential Groundnut Properties for Decorticator Development	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract presents detailed numerical data on the physical properties of groundnut pods and kernels, which are relevant for evaluating a battery-operated groundnut decorticator. However, it lacks clear structure, making it difficult to follow. It does not explain the methodology, significance, or implications of the findings, nor does it state the problem addressed by the research.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>YES</b>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The research is timely and relevant, contributing to the development of robust and flexible battery-operated decorticators, which are particularly beneficial for small-scale farmers in developing regions.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>YES</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Some minor corrections	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mustapha Sulaiman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mewar University, India</b>