

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_121228
Title of the Manuscript:	SOME ENGINEERING PROPERTIES OF GROUNDNUT FOR THE DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF GROUNDNUT DECORTICATOR
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is related to some engineering properties of groundnut which is important for the design, handling and development of grains decorticator and transportation. Within this research, prediction of responses was performed. This is extensive research, with a lot of analysis, and a lot of numerical techniques used. I had a great pleasure to read and review the manuscript. I found it appropriate for publication in Journal of Scientific Research and Reports, but only after thorough modifications in the Manuscript (mentioned in the attached document) and some clarification from the Authors.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes, the title of article is suitable.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is not comprehensive. There are too many errors in English spelling to be corrected.</p> <p>Some corrections were made on manuscript. Some sentences were misspelled and indicated and pointed out on manuscript.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections and structure of manuscript are not appropriate. The suggestions are pointed out on manuscript.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The aim of the paper is well described, and the discussion was moderately well approached, its results and discussion are correlated to the cited literature data. However, the literature references should be updated by the newer titles. Innovation is at medium level, but it may have some practical and technical importance for the readers.</p> <p>The used mathematical techniques were already used in recent years for the elaboration of similar studies. The significance of the Work: Given the large number of analyzed data, this is an interesting study with a significant impact in this area. Perhaps a few more detailed statistical evaluations should be shown. Statistical interpretation of the analytical data should be greatly improved as suggested in the attached document. Other The work is properly presented in terms of the language. The work presented here is very interesting and relatively well done, but it could be presented in a more compact manner (as suggested in the attached document). Some specific comments are written within the attached document.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are not sufficient and recent. The suggestions of additional references were given on manuscript.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article should be improved as mentioned on manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Yildirim
Department, University & Country	Harran University, Türkiye