

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_122680
Title of the Manuscript:	FROM MULBERRY FIELDS TO SILK MILLS: AN INSIGHTFUL STUDY OF INDIA'S SERICULTURE DYNAMICS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Mulberries are a cash crop that can be used to earn foreign exchange. For India's small and marginal farmers, mulberry production might be viewed as an additional source of income. Mulberry crops may be grown in arid areas and use less water, which helps the poorer segments of society achieve sustainable growth. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I propose renaming the article. Kindly focus mostly on the state of Karnataka rather than India. India's success stories can be used to demonstrate the significance of mulberry silk manufacturing.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. No need to change.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. Matching with the journal format.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The author should revisit the article and re write the article. Because English needs to be improved. In methodology section, suffix and prefix es should be rechecked. Secondary data sources should be specific. Conclusion should depict the gist of the result and discussion. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. Author must incorporate recent reviews in Introduction, Methodology section.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English needs to be improved.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subhadip Pal
Department, University & Country	The Neotia University, India