

**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_121664</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Role of Agri-Startups in Facilitating Technology Transfer: A Study of Farmer Perspectives in Madurai and Dindigul Districts, Tamil Nadu</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 2:**

<b>FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)</b>	<b>Authors' response to final evaluator's comments</b>
<p>After a detailed reading and analysis of the article, I still have several key points to highlight.</p> <p>Firstly, the article does not elaborate on the specific methods of random sampling—how is random sampling ensured? The lack of a clear explanation of the random sampling process might cause readers to question the fairness and appropriateness of the sample selection.</p> <p>Secondly, the study primarily uses descriptive statistics to analyze data. While this approach is valuable in revealing basic trends, it is insufficient to support more in-depth analysis and strong conclusions, especially in studies that need to assess impact and causal relationships.</p> <p>Lastly, I find the interpretation and discussion of the results in the article to be weak. Although the authors provide some basic explanations, these discussions do not sufficiently explore the underlying mechanisms or make in-depth comparisons with existing literature. The discussion of the results should more comprehensively reflect the significance of the data, explain why such data patterns appear, and compare them with existing studies to propose new insights or confirm existing theories.</p> <p>Considering the high level of scientific contribution and clear innovation required by SCI journals, I think that the methodological and depth deficiencies of this paper may not meet the publication standards of such a high-level journal.</p>	<p><b>Sorry Mam/Sir,</b></p> <p>Thank you mam/sir for your detailed analysis of my research article. I have revised my research article.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Firstly, I had explained about the sample selection method in my research article. The sample selection which I had used was “purposive random sampling” because farmers were selected from the list which was provided by those selected Agri-startups. Agri-startups were also been selected using “purposive sampling selection technique” which I had mentioned on the methodology section. Likewise my research article has been revised now as per your concern.</li> <li>2. Secondly, for this study after the guidance of y chairman survey has been made and data was collected and with consultation of my members the statistical tool I was told to use was descriptive statistics and by using “SPSS software” the data was analysed and calculated. I had use percentile method to analyse the data so it wolud be in depth for studies.</li> <li>3. Lastly, now I had revised the result and interpretation in th artice to make it strong by give the findings of research done by some other author and some in depth comparisions with existing literature. I had made changes to explain those roles of Agri-startups separately to make the readers easy and understandable. Together I had revised my article which would make it strong now by confirming exisiting theories.</li> <li>4. Finally, after all the corrections now it would be in scientific contibution and clear to be now published.</li> </ol>