

Influence of seed priming treatments on yield attributes, seed yield and economic returns of Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.)

Abstract

The effects of different seed priming treatments, such as namely, tap water, KNO₃ 2.5%, Thiourea 1000 ppm, CaCl₂ 2%, NaCl₂ 2%, ZnSO₄ 1%, KH₂PO₄ 1% and Salicylic acid 100 ppm solutions, on yield attributes, seed yield and economic returns of Barley cv. K-1055 and K-409 in Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications were investigated during Rabi 2022-23 and 2023-24 at Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture & Technology, Kanpur. Analysis of variance revealed that the yield attributes and seed yield were significantly affected by various seed priming treatments. Priming with KNO₃ @ 2.5 % increased the seed yield by 21.70 % compared to the control. However, priming with thiourea @ 1000 ppm gave the highest economic returns with a cost to benefit ratio of 1:2.14. Therefore, these priming techniques could be used to improve the seed yield and profit.

Key words:- Barley, Priming, KNO₃, Seed yield

Introduction

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is a versatile cereal grain worldwide, ranking fourth in acreage and production after wheat, rice and maize. Barley belongs to the grass family Poaceae, tribe Triticeae and genus *Hordeum*, comprising nearly 350 species. Out of which *Hordeum* consists of about 32 species including the wild and cultivated one. Barley is a diploid with 2n=14 chromosomes.

The production of barley in the world worldwide was estimated at around 142.22 million metric tons. Globally, the top barley-producing countries are Russia, Australia, Canada and United Kingdom. Russia shares 13% of the world's total barley production with the area 9 million hectares and production 19.03 million metric tons. Australia shares 8% of world's total barley production with the area 3.2 million hectares and production of 11.5 million metric tons. Canada shares 6% of world's total barley production with the area of 2.7 million hectares and production of 9.6 million metric tons (USDA 2023). Nowadays, barley accounts for 15 percent of world coarse grains in use. Approximately 70 % of barley grown worldwide is utilized for animal feed, 20 % for malting, and 5 % for direct human food consumption (FAO 2020). Nearly all temperate regions of the world cultivate barley as an important industrial crop, including North Africa, Europe, South and North America, Asia and Australia. The area under barley cultivation in India is approximately 0.62 million hectares with an annual production of 1.9 million metric tons (USDA 2023). Barley is mostly farmed in the northern plains of India, specifically in Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Haryana and Punjab.

Barley grains have smothering and cooling properties that facilitate easy digestion. Barley is a healthy grain that has several advantages. It is an excellent source of minerals, vitamins, and dietary fiber. Barley, which is high in antioxidants, may help decrease cholesterol and promote heart health (Bartlomiej, S et al. 2012). It is also appropriate for managing diabetes as it has a lower glycemic index than certain other grains and provides important amino acids (Thondre, P. S., and Henry., C. J.K. 2009).

Strategies for improving the growth and development of crop species have been investigated for many years. Seed priming is a pre-sowing procedure that creates a physiological condition that is more favorable for successful seed germination. Before the radical protrudes, seed priming regulates hydration, which initiates the regular metabolic process during the early stages of germination (Johnson and Puthur, 2021). Numerous field crops, including wheat, sweet corn, mung beans, barley, lentils, cucumbers and others, have been shown to benefit from seed priming (Sadeghian and Yavari, 2004).

Priming with KNO₃ before planting, which stimulates various physiological and biochemical changes in seeds, leading to improved germination, early seedling growth, stress tolerance, and ultimately higher crop yield (Hamidi et al. 2013). One study by El-Tayeb (2006) investigated the effect of thiourea priming on barley seeds under high temperature stress. The results indicated that thiourea priming significantly improved the germination percentage, seedling growth and physiological attributes of barley under high temperature conditions. Kaur and Kaur (2019) explored the effect of calcium chloride priming on improving germination and seedling growth in barley under saline conditions to mitigate the detrimental effects of salinity stress on barley seedlings. Priming with sodium chloride enhanced the water uptake by seeds and increased the activities of hydrolyzing enzymes, which are essential for the early stages of seed germination Farooq et al. (2008).

Harris et al. (2007) reported that priming of maize seeds with zinc sulfate improved seedling vigor, enhanced root growth, and increased tolerance to abiotic stresses such as drought and salinity and increased the activity of antioxidant enzymes, which play a crucial role in mitigating oxidative stress in plants. Abdulrahmaniet al. (2007) reported that KH₂PO₄ priming enhanced the nutrient uptake and utilization efficiency in barley, leading to better growth and yield. Pirasteh Anoshehet al. (2022) reported that SA priming promoted the activities of hydrolytic enzymes and improved the expression of stress-responsive genes in barley, leading to better yield seedling vigor and stress tolerance.

Materials and methods

The experiment was carried out to determine the effect of various seed priming treatments on Barley yield attributes, seed yield and economic returns during the Rabi (winter) season in 2022 and 2023 at the Students Instructional Farm, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, U.P. The experiment comprised of two Barley cultivars viz. cv. K-409 (V₁) and K-1055 (V₂). Both varieties

Comment [21]: What is the plot area?

Comment [22]: 1-The introduction does not explain the research problem; also, what is the gap in the research
2-The citations show one picture; there is no opinion to disagree with the priming treatments
3-What is new in this study? There are no details
4- What are the aims of this study? please mention the objectives of the study.

Comment [23]: Need reference

were primed with tap water(T₁), KNO₃ 2.5% (T₂), Thiourea 1000 ppm(T₃), CaCl₂ 2%(T₄), NaCl 2%(T₅), ZnSO₄ 1%(T₆), KH₂PO₄ 1% (T₇), Salicylic acid 100 ppm(T₈) solutions. The crop was sown in the second fortnight of November, 2022-23 and 2023-24. Full doses of P and K, along with one-third of N, were applied as a basal dose at the time of sowing using inorganic sources of nutrients, such as DAP, MOP and Urea respectively. The remaining two-thirds of N were applied in two equal splits doses. The seed yield was calculated using the $\frac{m^2}{m^2}$ area of per plot and converted to q ha⁻¹. Individual data from the various yield attributes studied in the experiment were statistically analyzed. The standard error of the mean, a critical difference (C.D.) at 5% level of probability and coefficient of variance were calculated using standard procedures. For estimating the costs, the average expenditure on various inputs like human labour, machine power, seed, fertilizer, roughing, priming treatment cost and irrigation were worked out. These costs along with the interest on working capital (at the rate of 8 per cent per annum) formed the total variable cost. To work out the total cost of cultivation per hectare, the rental value of land, processing and packaging charges of seed were added to the total variable cost. For calculating the costs, the depreciation cost of owned farm inputs were also considered. The returns were calculated based on the actual prices received by the farmers. The return over variable cost, and net returns were calculated by deducting the respective costs from the gross returns.

The soil of the experimental plot was analyzed for its various physical and chemical characteristics in the Soil Testing Laboratory of the C. S. Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, in accordance with the accepted.

Results and Discussion

The data presented in table-1 to table 5 revealed that both varieties of Barley when treated with various seed priming treatments showed significant effects on seed yield attribute and seed yield. Variety K-1055 exhibited significantly greater length of ears (8.46 cm), number of spikelets spike⁻¹(52.37), number of seeds spike⁻¹(45.04), raw seed yield(41.53 q ha⁻¹) and graded seed yield (37.61 q ha⁻¹) as compared to variety K-409 that may be due to differential response of variety. Similar results have been reported by Afzal *et al.* (2006), Bakht *et al.*, and Siddique and Bose (2015).

Pooled data of priming treatments also presented in Table-1 to table-5 revealed that among the priming treatments, priming with KNO₃ @ 2.5 % (T₂) was significantly superior in terms of greater length of ears (9.12 cm), number of spikelets spike⁻¹(55.80), number of seeds spike⁻¹(46.92), raw seed yield(44.33 q ha⁻¹) and graded seed yield(40.48 q ha⁻¹) followed by priming with thiourea @ 1000 ppm (T₃) while all the seed yield attributing characters and seed yield were minimum in control(T₀). These results are in conformity with Mohammadi G.R. (2009), Srivastava *et al.*(2010), Ahmadvand *et al.* (2012). The interaction effect of varieties and treatments was found to be significant for yield attributes and seed yield on pooled data basis presented in table-1 to table -5. Table revealed that the variety K-1055 and priming with KNO₃ (V₂×T₂) showed significant increased in length of ears (9.23 cm), number of spikelets spike⁻¹(56.73), number of seeds spike⁻¹(48.03), raw seed yield(45.02 q ha⁻¹) and graded seed yield(41.16 q ha⁻¹) followed by variety K-1055 priming with thiourea @ 1000 ppm (V₂×T₃). The minimum length of ears (cm), number of spikelets spike⁻¹, number of seeds spike⁻¹, raw seed yield and graded seed yield was observed in variety K-409 in control (V₁×T₀). These results are in conformity with Patra *et al.* (2016) Farooq *et al.* (2008) Dhiman *et al.* (2022). Data regarding economic returns presented in table-6 revealed that among the priming treatments, priming with KNO₃ @ 2.5% found maximum economic returns followed by priming with Thiourea @ 1000 ppm while minimum economic returns was found in control (T₀). Similar results have been reported by Tiwari *et al.* (2014), Siddique and Bose (2015).

Table 1- Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on length of ears (cm) in Barley varieties K-409 and K-1055

Treatments	2022-23			2023-24			Pooled		
	V ₁	V ₂	Mean	V ₁	V ₂	Mean	V ₁	V ₂	Mean
T ₀	7.36	7.42	7.39	7.41	7.87	7.64	7.38	7.65	7.51
T ₁	7.61	7.71	7.66	7.77	8.11	7.94	7.69	7.91	7.80
T ₂	8.96	9.10	9.03	9.05	9.36	9.21	9.01	9.23	9.12
T ₃	8.74	8.87	8.81	8.97	9.04	9.01	8.86	8.96	8.91
T ₄	7.95	8.04	8.00	8.04	8.45	8.25	8.00	8.25	8.12
T ₅	7.68	7.89	7.78	7.87	8.24	8.05	7.78	8.06	7.92
T ₆	8.69	8.72	8.71	8.81	8.89	8.85	8.75	8.81	8.78
T ₇	8.38	8.41	8.40	8.42	8.76	8.59	8.40	8.59	8.49
T ₈	8.53	8.57	8.55	8.74	8.91	8.83	8.63	8.74	8.69
Mean	8.21	8.30	8.26	8.34	8.63	8.48	8.28	8.46	8.37
Factors	SE(d)	CD 5%		SE(d)	CD 5%		SE(d)	CD 5%	
V	0.002	0.04		0.01	0.02		0.01	0.03	
T	0.004	0.01		0.02	0.04		0.01	0.02	
V×T	0.006	0.013		0.03	0.055		0.02	0.034	
CV(%)	6.91			6.32			6.62		

Comment [24]: Seed rate (Kilogram per hectare)

Comment [25]: Calculating the total costs requires further clarification. I recommend including the mathematical equations used in the calculations, as well as a table showing the operating and labor costs for various agricultural operations, such as plowing, seeding, weed control, seed quantity, agricultural equipment, and agricultural tractors.

Comment [26]: Many citations are not in the reference list. Please a double-check on in-text referencing and reference. The results and discussion are very brief. There is no real in-depth discussion, only a description of the data. I suggest that you rewrite the discussion and clearly compare it with the research of others. The single effect of important factors is not shown. It is preferable to discuss each table separately and discuss the results in detail with the findings of previous studies.

Comment [27]: Please explain T₀ is it a control treatment?

Table 2- Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on number of spikelets spike⁻¹ in Barley varieties K-409 and K-1055

Treatments	2022-23			2023-24			Pooled		
	V ₁	V ₂	Mean	V ₁	V ₂	Mean	V ₁	V ₂	Mean
T ₀	45.53	47.20	46.37	46.27	48.33	47.30	45.90	47.77	46.83
T ₁	47.53	49.20	48.37	48.13	50.27	49.20	47.83	49.73	48.78
T ₂	54.20	55.80	55.00	55.53	57.67	56.60	54.87	56.73	55.80
T ₃	53.27	55.07	54.17	54.20	56.73	55.47	53.73	55.90	54.82
T ₄	49.60	50.40	50.00	50.53	52.27	51.40	50.07	51.33	50.70
T ₅	48.47	49.47	48.97	48.60	50.47	49.53	48.53	49.97	49.25
T ₆	52.27	53.13	52.70	53.33	55.33	54.33	52.80	54.23	53.52
T ₇	50.93	51.27	51.10	51.47	53.47	52.47	51.20	52.37	51.78
T ₈	51.40	52.40	51.90	52.73	54.13	53.43	52.07	53.27	52.67
Mean	50.36	51.55	50.95	51.20	53.19	52.19	50.78	52.37	51.57
Factors	SE(d)	CD 5%		SE(d)	CD 5%		SE(d)	CD 5%	
V	0.057	0.11		0.05	0.11		0.06	0.11	
T	0.12	0.24		0.11	0.23		0.12	0.24	
V×T	0.17	0.34		0.16	0.32		0.17	0.33	
CV(%)	5.51			5.94			5.73		

Table 3- Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on number of seeds spike⁻¹ in Barley varieties K-409 and K-1055

Treatments	2022-23			2023-24			Pooled		
	V ₁	V ₂	Mean	V ₁	V ₂	Mean	V ₁	V ₂	Mean
T ₀	41.07	41.93	41.50	42.20	43.07	42.63	41.63	42.50	42.07
T ₁	41.67	43.07	42.37	42.73	43.80	43.27	42.20	43.43	42.82
T ₂	45.40	47.53	46.47	46.20	48.53	47.37	45.80	48.03	46.92
T ₃	44.33	46.53	45.43	45.67	47.67	46.67	45.00	47.10	46.05
T ₄	42.53	42.87	42.70	43.67	45.53	44.60	43.10	44.20	43.65
T ₅	42.07	42.60	42.33	43.07	44.47	43.77	42.57	43.53	43.05
T ₆	43.87	45.93	44.90	45.13	46.73	45.93	44.50	46.33	45.42
T ₇	42.67	44.13	43.40	43.73	45.13	44.43	43.20	44.63	43.92
T ₈	43.27	44.87	44.07	44.20	46.27	45.23	43.73	45.57	44.65
Mean	42.99	44.39	43.69	44.07	45.69	44.88	43.53	45.04	44.28
Factors	SE(d)	CD 5%		SE(d)	CD 5%		SE(d)	CD 5%	
V	0.06	0.11		0.06	0.12		0.06	0.12	
T	0.12	0.26		0.13	0.27		0.13	0.27	
V×T	0.17	0.37		0.18	0.38		0.18	0.38	
CV(%)	3.77			3.51			3.64		

Table 4- Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on raw seed yield q ha⁻¹ in Barley varieties K-409 and K-1055

Treatments	2022-23			2023-24			Pooled		
	V ₁	V ₂	Mean	V ₁	V ₂	Mean	V ₁	V ₂	Mean
T ₀	36.18	37.47	36.82	37.18	38.22	37.70	36.68	37.85	37.26
T ₁	37.09	38.28	37.69	38.23	40.11	39.17	37.66	39.19	38.43
T ₂	43.26	44.31	43.78	44.43	45.72	45.07	43.84	45.02	44.43
T ₃	42.47	43.46	42.97	43.47	45.16	44.32	42.97	44.31	43.64
T ₄	38.42	39.04	38.73	39.25	41.25	40.25	38.83	40.14	39.49
T ₅	37.92	38.89	38.40	38.77	40.53	39.65	38.34	39.71	39.03
T ₆	41.26	42.12	41.69	42.87	44.57	43.72	42.07	43.35	42.71
T ₇	39.67	40.62	40.14	41.54	42.87	42.21	40.61	41.74	41.17
T ₈	40.12	41.25	40.68	42.16	43.68	42.92	41.14	42.47	41.80
Mean	39.60	40.60	40.10	40.88	42.46	41.67	40.24	41.53	40.88
Factors	SE(d)	CD 5%		SE(d)	CD 5%		SE(d)	CD 5%	
V	0.04	0.09		0.06	0.12		0.05	0.11	
T	0.12	0.26		0.14	0.32		0.13	0.29	
V×T	0.18	0.42		0.21	0.51		0.19	0.46	
CV(%)	5.98			6.16			6.07		

Table 5- Effect of priming treatments for 6 hours on graded seed yield q ha⁻¹ in Barley varieties K-409 and K-1055

Treatments	2022-23			2023-24			Pooled		
	V ₁	V ₂	Mean	V ₁	V ₂	Mean	V ₁	V ₂	Mean
T ₀	32.14	33.58	32.86	33.04	34.26	33.65	32.59	33.92	33.26
T ₁	33.05	34.40	33.72	34.07	36.05	35.06	33.56	35.22	34.39
T ₂	39.26	40.51	39.88	40.33	41.81	41.07	39.79	41.16	40.48
T ₃	38.45	39.73	39.09	39.36	41.16	40.26	38.91	40.44	39.67
T ₄	34.48	35.22	34.85	35.24	37.22	36.23	34.86	36.22	35.54
T ₅	33.89	35.04	34.47	34.66	36.52	35.59	34.27	35.78	35.03
T ₆	37.50	38.27	37.89	38.97	40.51	39.74	38.23	39.39	38.81
T ₇	35.65	36.81	36.23	37.34	38.86	38.10	36.50	37.83	37.17
T ₈	36.07	37.45	36.76	37.92	39.66	38.79	37.00	38.55	37.77
Mean	35.61	36.78	36.19	36.77	38.45	37.61	36.19	37.61	36.90
Factors	SE(d)	CD 5%		SE(d)	CD 5%		SE(d)	CD 5%	
V	0.03	0.08		0.05	0.10		0.04	0.09	
T	0.11	0.25		0.13	0.31		0.12	0.28	
V×T	0.17	0.41		0.18	0.43		0.17	0.42	
CV(%)	6.32			6.47			6.40		

Table 6- Economic analysis of Barley crop under different priming treatments

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Gross income (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Net income (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	B:C ratio
T ₀	66674.40	184178	117503.60	1.76
T ₁	67100.28	190241	123140.72	1.83
T ₂	70766.28	222339	151572.70	2.14
T ₃	69691.44	218088	148396.60	2.12
T ₄	67538.12	196157	128618.90	1.90
T ₅	68275.48	193559	125283.5	1.83
T ₆	69950.92	213393	143442.10	2.05
T ₇	70100.68	204901	134800.3	1.92
T ₈	68353.17	208134	139780.80	2.04

Conclusion

.This work led us to conclude that the seed priming with KNO₃ @ 2.5 % for 6 hours increased the yield attributing characters and seed yield of Barley. Priming with KNO₃ @ 2.5 % for 6 hours ~~give-gives~~ the best economic returns with 1:2.14 ~~Costs-Cost~~ to benefit ratio. Between the varieties, variety K-1055 has better performance and can be recommended for practical utility at the farmer level.

Comment [28]: The conclusion is very poorly written and needs to be carefully revised and improved, whereas the current conclusion does not accurately convey the article's content. In addition, it is not written according to a correct scientific methodology, where the findings must be re-written as a clear point. mention to best results obtained, the lowest cost and the highest BCR

References

- Abdulrahmani, B., Ghassemi-Golezani, K., Valizadeh, M., & Asl, V. F. (2007). Seed priming and seedling establishment of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Journal of Food Agriculture and Environment*, 5(3/4), 179.
- Afzal, S., Basra, M.A., Amhad, N., Cheema, M.A., Haq, M.A., Kazmi, M. H and Ifrani, S. (2006). Enhancement of antioxidant defence system induced by hormonal priming in wheat. *Cereal Research and communications*, 39 (3):334-342
- Ahmadvand, G.; Soleimani, F.; Saadatian, B. and Pouya, M. (2012) – Effect of seed priming with potassium nitrate on germination and emergence traits of two soybean cultivars under salinity stress conditions. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Science*, vol. 12, (6) p. 769-774
- Bakht, J., Shafi, M., Jamal, Y., & Sher, H. (2011). Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to seed priming with NaCl and salinity stress. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*, 9(1), 252-261.
- Barley Production USDA Foreign Agricultural Service
<https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&opi=89978449&url=https://fas.usda.gov/data/production/commodity/0430000&ved=2ahUKEwiJl8HO4bWFAxUV7jeGHcXPA08QFnoECA4OBO&usq=AOvVaw3engcjmo160gDcNqqlojMj>
- Bartlomiej, S., Justyna, R. K., & Ewa, N. (2012). Bioactive compounds in cereal grains—occurrence, structure, technological significance and nutritional benefits—a review. *Food science and technology international*, 18(6), 559-568.
- Dhiman, K. C., Thakur, A., Kanwar, R., & Kapila, R. K. (2022). Use of different seed priming tools to enhance the planting value and seed quality in barley. *Journal of Cereal Research*, 14(3).

El-Tayeb, M. A. (2006). Differential response of sugar beet and barley genotypes to salt stress: growth, osmotic adjustment, and photosynthesis. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Soil & Plant Science*, 293–300.

FAO <https://www.fao.org> > ...PDF World Food and Agriculture - Statistical Yearbook 2020
<https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&opi=89978449&url=https://www.fao.org/3/cb1329en/CB1329EN.pdf&ved=2ahUKewjbgfKr5rWFAXWy8jgGHUjBBOEQFnoECBgQAO&usq=AOvVawIZ00sSDOMkz2eU4O3JmfJs>

Farooq, M., Basra, S. M. A. and Saleem, B. A. (2008). Seed priming enhance the performance of late sown wheat by improving chilling tolerance. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Sciences*, 194: 55-60.

Hamidi, R., Pirasteh-Anosheh, H., Izadi, M. (2013). Effect of seed halopriming compared with hydropriming on wheat germination and growth. *Journal of Agronomy and Plant Production*, 4(7): 1611-1615.

Johnson, R., & Puthur, J. T. (2021). Seed priming as a cost effective technique for developing plants with cross tolerance to salinity stress. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 162, 247257.

Kaur, H., & Kaur, K. (2019). Calcium chloride seed priming improves germination and seedling growth in barley under saline conditions. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 42(10), 1173-1185.

Kurubar, S. A., Bhuker, A., & Kumar, Y. (2021). Effect of priming and storage containers on seed quality of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Journal of Cereal Research* 13 (Spl-1): 67-76. <http://doi.org/10.25174/2582-2675/2021,114866>.

Comment [29]: Not found in the text

Mohammadi, G.R. (2009) The effect of seed priming on plant traits of late-spring seeded soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture & Environmental Science*, vol. 5, n. 3, p. 322-326.

Patra, S.S., Mehera, B., Rout, S., Tomar, S.S., Singh, M. and Kumar, R. (2016). Effect of hydropriming and different sowing dates on growth and yield attributes of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 8 (2): 971-980

Pirasteh-Anosheh, H., Ranjbar, G., Hasanuzzaman, M., Khanna, K., Bhardwaj, R., & Ahmad, P. (2022). Salicylic acid-mediated regulation of morpho-physiological and yield attributes of wheat and barley plants in deferring salinity stress. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 41(3), 1291-1303.

Sadeghian, S. Y. and Yavari, N. (2004). Effect of water deficient stress on germination and early seedling growth in sugar beet. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Sciences*, 190: 138-44.

Siddique, A. and Bose, B. (2015). Effect of Seed Invigoration with Nitrate Salts on Morpho-Physiological and Growth Parameters of Wheat Crop Sown in Different Dates in its Cropping Season. *Vegetos-An International Journal of Plant Research*, 28(1), 76-85.

Srivastava, A.K., P. Suprasanna, S. Srivastava and S.F. D'Souza, (2010). Thiourea mediated regulation in the expression profile of aquaporins and its impact on water homeostasis under salinity stress in *Brassica juncea* roots. *Plant Sci.*, 178: 517–522

Thondre, P. S., & Henrv. C. J. K. (2009). High-molecular-weight barley β -glucan in chapatis (unleavened Indian flatbread) lowers glycemic index. *Nutrition Research*, 29(7), 480-486.

Tiwari, T. N., Kamal, D., Singh, R. K., & Prasad, S. R. (2014). Relative efficacy of seed priming with potassium nitrate and tap water in relation to germination, invigoration, growth, nitrate assimilation and yield of pigeonpea (*Cajanuscajan* L.). *Annals of Agricultural Research*, 35(2).

Vazirimehr, M. R., Ganjali, H. R., Rigi, K. and Keshtehgar, A. (2014). Effect of seed priming on quantitative traits corn. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, 4: 134-40.

Comment [210]: Not found in the text