

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_121834
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Efficacy of Anti-TNF in Inducing and Maintaining Remission in Crohn's Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is overall well written and structured, analyzing the clinical efficacy of anti-TNF-alpha therapies in the therapy of Chron`s disease. Considering that this is and meta-analysis of clinical trials, the utilisation of the PICOS (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome, and Study design) and Risk of Bias Plot are particularly useful. Both the Inclusion and Exclusion criteria of studies are well defined in the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has all the scientific elements required for a review article. The literature review is systematic, based on the PRISMA approach, the statistical elements of the Metha-analysis well executed, and the Risk of Bias assessment included in the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English used is good, and no professional editing is needed. Please correct the typos that can be found the this version of the article.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Flaviu Tabaran
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania