

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_122594
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of intensity of pruning on yield and Physico-chemical properties of Khasi Mandarin (Citrus reticulata Blanco)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Very important in enlightening on the importance of pruning. It is quite a good read	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. However, researcher to include values of major results and scientific data analysis used for test of variance	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes they are although some need improvement. Introduction is not well cited, references need to be checked. The results and discussion need to be distinct and the researcher should write in paragraphs discussing each result separately for those that are major and which we need to understand better.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	No issue with the scientific correctness.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ensure the list only has what is cited within text. Read more to give the manuscript strength.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is sufficient and simple as to communicate the scientific knowledge accordingly.	
Optional/General comments	Good read	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vincent Rabach
Department, University & Country	Kenyatta University, Kenya