

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_122274</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Estimating Genetic Diversity in Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) Lines: Cluster Analysis and Trait Impact</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article contribute to the advancement of science and technology. The interpretations and conclusions sound and justified by the data. The paper useful for breeders other scientists. That the collection and processing of data followed established norms that ensure the data is not biased.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title appropriate and indicates the content of the research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is a comprehensive to all the study.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Study introduction is good, brief and referred to review of literature. That the collection and processing of data followed established norms that ensure the data is not biased. It was identified the expected benefits of the objectives of the study clearly and appropriately in the discussion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent and arranged alphabetically.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The author should revised the use of tenses in the manuscript to improve the quality of the grammar.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saifuldeen Ahmed Hasan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Southern Technical University, Iraq</b>