

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_120627
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Anthelmintic and Neuropharmacological Activities of the leaves of Chassalia
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript titled "Evaluation of Anthelmintic and Neuropharmacological Activities of the Leaves of Chassalia" is a significant contribution to the scientific community. It provides valuable insights into the potential medicinal properties of Chassalia leaves, highlighting their anthelmintic and neuropharmacological effects. This research could pave the way for the development of new therapeutic agents derived from natural sources. I appreciate the thorough experimental design and robust data analysis, which lend credibility to the findings. However, I believe the manuscript could be enhanced by including a more detailed discussion on the mechanisms underlying the observed pharmacological activities</p>	<p>Liked the manuscript</p>
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>"Exploring the Anthelmintic and Neuropharmacological Properties of Chassalia Leaf Extracts"</p>	<p>Use the term Exploring in the title</p>
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Introduction/Background:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Briefly mention the significance of studying Chassalia leaves. • State the main objectives of the research. <p><input type="checkbox"/> Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summarize the key methodologies used for evaluating anthelmintic and neuropharmacological activities. • Mention any specific assays or experimental approaches used. <p><input type="checkbox"/> Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highlight the most important findings related to anthelmintic activity. • Summarize the key neuropharmacological effects observed. • Include any significant statistical results. <p><input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide a brief conclusion based on the results. • Mention the potential implications or applications of the findings. 	<p>Rewrite and arrange the abstract in the said sequences</p>
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The sentence should be revised to make the research paper more effective 2. Reference should be added where I have mentioned that would increase the impact of research paper value 3. The manuscript is scientifically good and technically sound 	<p>Follow the mentioned additions and changes in the sentences</p>

Review Form 3

Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Reference needed to be added	Improve the quality by adding the reference
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision in the English language that will increase the quality of manuscript	Make minor Changes
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tariq Ahmaad Lone
Department, University & Country	Government Degree College, India