

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJOM_121671</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>On the number of combinations generating a sum</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p>This is very short paper.</p> <p>The abstract is only two sentences. It doesn't provide research background, research gap of the work. The abstract doesn't even discuss the benefits of the work presented in the paper.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The introduction section is also very short. The authors have cited some very old papers, without explaining the work presented in each paper. The literature review is very old, with the latest paper cited is from 2006 which is almost two decades old.</li><li>2. The paper contains only two sections, with no section for results, discussion, or conclusion. There is no motivation of the work presented in the paper. It is also not clear what research problem the authors have addressed, what findings / message they want to convey to the researchers.</li></ol>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>		
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>		
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>		
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>		
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>		

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Azeem
Department, University & Country	University of Malakand, Pakistan