

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>MS_AJSSPN_121293</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Studies of nodules and productivity with phosphate and zinc solubilizing microbes in lentil (<i>Lens culinaris</i>) in tarai region of Uttarakhand</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Phosphorus and zinc are essential elements for successful plant growth, particularly in pulse production. Phosphorus plays a crucial role in root development, nodules growth, plant strength, flowering, nitrogen fixation, and overall crop health. Zinc is a vital micronutrient that activates enzymes, affects metabolic processes, and plays a significant role in DNA transcription. Overall, these micronutrients and microbial interventions are crucial for optimizing crop health and yield in pulse production.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Not Suggested title "Effect of different levels of phosphate and zinc with solubilizing microbes on lentil ( <i>Lens culinaris</i> ) in tarai region of Uttarakhand"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Phosphorus and Zinc content in soil data are needed to justify the results	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	In this manuscript soil related data must add. Follow the similar pattern to write the units in manuscript	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Some recent reference must update	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes Some correction needed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Premlata Meena</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agriculture University Jodhpur, India</b>