

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_122342
Title of the Manuscript:	A Case report and review of literature of a giant lipoma of the hand
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Although it is known that lipomas are benign tumors, it is important to rule out that it is not a malignant condition as a differential diagnosis. In addition, cases of malignancy are reported in the case of giant lipomas. The tumors that occur in the hand include cysts, fibromas, schwannomas, lipomas, neurofibromas and even malignant neoplasms, with the differential diagnosis being very important to plan their resection and preserve the different structures that may be involved. Masses larger than 5 centimeters should be considered malignant until proven otherwise. Lipomas with a diameter greater than 5 cm are considered giant lipomas, which should be resected due to the possibility of sarcomatous transformation. It can produce compression of the median nerve of non-nervous origin. It is important to rule out this cause because the nervous cause is idiopathic with bilateral presentation, the bibliography mentioned. Grivas TB, Psarakis SA, Kaspiris A, Liapi G. Giant lipoma of the chronic-case study and contemporary approach to its aetiopathogenicity. Hand (N Y) 2009;4(2):173-6. I consider the importance of mentioning it.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Giant lipoma of hand. Case report</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>I suggest mentioning the importance of the malignancy of the condition as I already mentioned in the first question, in addition to considering different differential diagnoses due to the unusual location of the lipoma.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>I could add a section specifying the importance of the case.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The present case report aims to present a clinical case of giant lipoma of the hand. The bibliographic review presented highlights the rarity of this pathology and presents the diagnostic characteristics and treatment. It is important to note that although it is a benign tumor, osteolipoma can be confused with more serious conditions with a poor prognosis such as malignancy, in addition to the symptoms that can compromise the patient's quality of life due to the compressive syndrome leading to functional limitation, which is why an adequate evaluation must be carried out.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>I would suggest mentioning more recent bibliography as an example: - Grivas TB, Psarakis SA, Kaspiris A, Liapi G. Giant lipoma of the thenar-case study and contemporaneous approach to its aetiopathogenicity. Hand (N Y) 2009;4(2):173-6. Panev A, Paneva T, Firoozi B, Petrov SP, Georgiev GP. Giant ossifying lipoma of the hand: case report and review of the literature. Cureus [Internet]. 2024 [cited August 20, 2024];16(4). Available at: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38715998/</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think it is appropriate	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stephany Fernandez Baca Quiroga
Department, University & Country	Peruvian University Cayetano Heredia, Peru